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ABSTRACT
Wheat is one of the most important strategic crops in Egypt. It is noted in the recent period that the productivity of wheat in some areas of Egypt has been affected significantly by climate change so it must be overcome them by changing the planting dates and use wheat varieties suitable for each region. This experiment was carried out in El-Qantara Sharq area, Ismailia governorate, Egypt, during the winter seasons of 2014/2015 and 2015/2016, at a private farm to study the effect of three applied irrigation water levels (IR100,85,70%), four planting date (PD) (15th October, 1th November, 15th November, 30th November) and three wheat varieties (V) (Sakha 94, Gemmiza 7 and Misr 2) on yield production, crop quality parameters, actual evapotranspiration (ETa), water use efficacy (WUE) and irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE) for wheat bread (Triticum aestivum L.). The experimental design was a split-split plot design with three replicates. The results showed that, the studied quality parameters and yield production for wheat gave the highest values under IR=100%, PD= 15th Nov. and Misr 2 variety treatment for both seasons. While, ETa gave the lowest values 167.67 and 161.43 mm for both seasons respectively, under IR= 70%, PD= 15th Oct. and Misr 2 variety treatment. Moreover, the maximum values of wheat WUE and IWUE were 3.08 and 1.56 kg/m³; 3.27 and 1.59 kg/m³ for both seasons respectively, under IR=70% , PD= 1th Nov. and Misr 2 variety treatment. This study concluded that the cultivation of wheat variety Misr 2 early on 1th Nov. under IR= 70% could be saved about 37% of added irrigation water versus yield reduction of wheat about 8 and 10% for both seasons respectively, compared to the control treatment (PD= 100% and 15th Nov).
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INTRODUCTION

Wheat is one of the major grain crops in the world. The crop is firstly cultivated for its cereals, which is used by human in his food. Wheat is also the major grain crop of Egypt and stock for about 40.2% of the total grain production with acreage of 1.26 million hectares (Anonymous 2010).

The planting date has a significant impact on the productivity of wheat grains by affecting the development and survival of tillers. The perfect wheat planting date is up to 15th November, while the planting process is finished at the end of November and the first two weeks of December.

Early wheat cultivation gave the highest yields of grain similar results were, more or less obtained by Qamar et al. (2004), Jalota et al. (2010), Khokhar et al. (2010), El-Kalla et al. (2010), Amin et al. (2011) and Seleiman et al. (2011).

Lak et al. (2013) showed that the maximum grain yield of 10.15 ton/ha were recorded by planting wheat on 15th November. While, the minimum grain yield of 6.1 ton/ha were recorded by planting wheat on 30th December.

Yassin and Kittani (2009) stated that no significant differences in the effect of wheat varieties or interaction between wheat varieties and planting dates. While, planting date had an extremely significant impact on grain yield and harvest index. Wheat cultivation on the start of November gave the highest grain yield 2.48 ton/ha, stalk yield 2.203 ton/ha and harvest index 23.27%.

Ouda et al. (2005) reported that, planting wheat in Oct. reduced grain yield by about 10%. While, lateness of planting date till the end of December decreased yield by about 16%.

Haroun et al. (2012) and Fazal et al. (2015) showed that the control planting date 20th Nov. override the other planting dates in all yield studied parameters and flour quality. While, delayed planting date 15th Dec. lead to an increase in flour quality (protein, wet and dry gluten) of the grain yield.

Seleem and Abd El Dayem (2013) Reported that the maximum significant value of grain yield was set by Gemmeiza 9 followed by Misr.
then Sakha 94 and Giza 168. While, the minimum value were observed when planting of Sakha 94 variety. 

Moussa and Abdel Maksoud (2004) stated that the number of spikes/m², 1000-grain weight, straw and grain yields decreased due to irrigation after higher soil moisture depletion. 

Khalil et al. (2005) and EL Afandi et al. (2010) concluded that the irrigating wheat at 1.2 evaporation pan coefficient (EPC) in Upper Egypt (Shandaweel) recorded the highest actual evapotranspiration (ETa) in comparison with 0.8 and 1.0 EPC, with ETa values of 1582, 1797 and 2216 m³/fed, for irrigation treatments at 0.8, 1.0 and 1.2 EPC, respectively, however 0.8 EPC gave the highest water use efficiency. 

Salem et al. (2006) and Poraas et al. (2010) concluded that for (Delta region of Egypt Bahteen) ETa and WUE for wheat were highest with 1.2 EPC more than either 0.8 or 1.0 EPC with respect to crop productivity as a function for soil moisture availability during the growing season. 

This study aimed to investigate the effect of applied irrigation water levels, planting date and wheat varieties on crop production, quality growth parameters, actual evapotranspiration, water use efficiency and irrigation water use efficiency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1- Experiments:
Field experiments were carried out in El-Qantara Sharq area, Ismailia governorate, Egypt at (30° 54` 36`` N: 32° 12` 36``E. 5 m a.s.l), during the winter seasons of 2014/2015 and 2015/2016. In split-split plot design with three replicates, the experimental was divided into 40 m² (5x8m) plots; each bounded by 1.5 m wide barren to avoid horizontal seepage. The obtained data were subjected to statistical analysis according to Snedecor and Cochran (1989) using Co-state software program. Cultivate three varieties of wheat Triticum aestivum L. Sakha 94, Gemmiza7 and Misr 2 by using four planting date PD 15th October, 1th November, 15th November, 30th November and three applied irrigation water IR100, 85 and 70% of crop evapotranspiration added by using sprinkler irrigation system (Fig. 1).
Plant height (PH) cm, numbers of spikes (NS) spikes/m², 1000 grain weight (GW) g, grain crude protein content (GCP) %, gluten content (GC) %, harvest index (HI) %, total yield (TY) Mg/ha, straw yield (SY) Mg/ha and grain yield (GY) Mg/ha were determined for wheat varieties. Actual evapotranspiration ETa (mm), water use efficiency WUE (kg/m³) and irrigation water use efficiency IWUE (kg/m³) were calculated at different planting date (PD) and applied irrigation water (IR) for all wheat varieties plots.

2. Soil characteristics:
Soil samples were collected for some physical and chemical characteristics of soil. The methodological procedures were according to methods described by Page et al. (1982) and Klute (1986). (Tables 1&2).

Table (1): Some physical characteristics of experimented soil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil depth (cm)</th>
<th>Particle size distribution</th>
<th>Textural class</th>
<th>OM %</th>
<th>$\rho_b$ g/cm³</th>
<th>Ks cm/h</th>
<th>FC %</th>
<th>WP %</th>
<th>AW %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>C. sand: 54.15, M. sand: 8.47, F. sand: 31.73, Silt: 3.29, Clay: 2.36</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>12.43</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>7.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>C. sand: 54.83, M. sand: 7.65, F. sand: 32.07, Silt: 3.11, Clay: 2.34</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>14.19</td>
<td>11.98</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>C. sand: 55.37, M. sand: 7.19, F. sand: 32.61, Silt: 2.85, Clay: 1.98</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>14.27</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>7.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2): Some chemical characteristics of experimented soil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil depth (cm)</th>
<th>EC (dS m⁻¹)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>CaCO₃ %</th>
<th>CEC, cmolc kg⁻¹</th>
<th>Na⁺</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>Ca²⁺</th>
<th>Mg²⁺</th>
<th>Cl⁻</th>
<th>HCO₃⁻</th>
<th>CO₃⁻</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>13.54</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>12.97</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Quality of irrigation water:
Chemical analyses of the irrigation water were measured according to methods described by Ayers and Westcot (1994). (Table 3).
Table (3): Some chemical analysis for irrigation water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>EC</th>
<th>SAR</th>
<th>Na⁺</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>Ca²⁺</th>
<th>Mg²⁺</th>
<th>Cl⁻</th>
<th>HCO₃⁻</th>
<th>CO₃²⁻</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Reference evapotranspiration ETo:
The reference evapotranspiration ETo) shown in table (4) was calculated by using Penman-Monteith equation FAO 56 method (Allen et al., 1998).

Table (4): Calculation reference evapotranspiration (mm/day) through Wheat growth period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ETo, mm day⁻¹</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>6.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Crop evapotranspiration ETc:
The crop evapotranspiration ETc shown in table (5) was calculated by using the equation:

- \( \text{ETc} = K_{cFAO} \cdot \text{ETo} \) (mm/day) (Allen et al., 1998)

Where: \( K_{cFAO} \): crop coefficient from FAO No.(56).

ETo : reference crop evapotranspiration, mm/day.
6. Leaching requirement LR:

The leaching requirement LR was calculated by using the equation:

\[
LR = \frac{EC_w}{(5 \times EC_e - EC_w)} \times 100 \quad (\%) \quad (Allen \ et \ al., \ 1998)
\]

Where: ECw : electrical conductivity of the irrigation water, dS/m.

ECe : average electrical conductivity of the soil solution extract, dS/m.

7. Deficit irrigation water DI during growth stages:

The deficit irrigation water at each growth stages based on amounts of applied irrigation water (IR) at different planting date for wheat varieties shown in table (6) were calculated by using the equation:

\[
IR_{100,85,70\%} = \frac{(ETc - Pe)Kr}{Ea} + LR \quad (mm/period)
\]

Keller and Karmeli (1974)

Where: Kr : correction factor for limited wetting at carrot percent round coverage by canopy 80%, Kr = 0.90. (Smith, 1992).

Ea : irrigation efficiency for sprinkler (70%) (Allen et al., 1998).

Pe : effective rainfall, mm/period.

LR : leaching requirements, under salinity levels of irrigation water (0.15 x ETc), mm.

---

### Table (5): Calculation crop evapotranspiration (mm/day) through wheat growth period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planting date</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Develop.</th>
<th>Mid</th>
<th>Late</th>
<th>Seasonal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KcFAO (-)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th Oct.</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Nov.</td>
<td>82.40</td>
<td>129.04</td>
<td>173.93</td>
<td>131.28</td>
<td>516.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th Nov.</td>
<td>56.80</td>
<td>123.55</td>
<td>200.72</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>531.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th Nov.</td>
<td>54.10</td>
<td>123.40</td>
<td>230.12</td>
<td>169.50</td>
<td>577.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th Oct.</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>134.97</td>
<td>264.90</td>
<td>185.76</td>
<td>631.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Nov.</td>
<td>ETc (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th Nov.</td>
<td>24.72</td>
<td>94.20</td>
<td>200.02</td>
<td>39.38</td>
<td>358.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th Nov.</td>
<td>17.04</td>
<td>90.19</td>
<td>230.83</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>383.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th Nov.</td>
<td>16.23</td>
<td>90.08</td>
<td>264.64</td>
<td>50.85</td>
<td>421.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th Nov.</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>98.53</td>
<td>304.64</td>
<td>55.73</td>
<td>472.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th Oct.</td>
<td>ETc100% (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Nov.</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>13.60</td>
<td>18.30</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>41.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th Nov.</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>20.20</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>42.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th Nov.</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>40.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th Oct.</td>
<td>Rainfall (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Nov.</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>14.70</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>37.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Table (6): Applied irrigation water (IR), (mm/period) at different planting date for wheat growth stages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD</th>
<th>IR (%)</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Develop.</th>
<th>Mid</th>
<th>Late</th>
<th>Seasonal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15th Oct.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>32.71</td>
<td>117.46</td>
<td>263.01</td>
<td>46.26</td>
<td>459.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>27.80</td>
<td>99.84</td>
<td>223.56</td>
<td>39.32</td>
<td>390.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>22.90</td>
<td>82.22</td>
<td>184.11</td>
<td>32.38</td>
<td>321.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Nov.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>17.85</td>
<td>114.16</td>
<td>304.71</td>
<td>57.39</td>
<td>494.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>97.04</td>
<td>259.00</td>
<td>48.78</td>
<td>419.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>79.91</td>
<td>213.30</td>
<td>40.17</td>
<td>345.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th Nov.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>108.99</td>
<td>358.67</td>
<td>67.06</td>
<td>552.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>14.84</td>
<td>92.64</td>
<td>304.87</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td>469.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>12.22</td>
<td>76.29</td>
<td>251.07</td>
<td>46.94</td>
<td>386.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th Nov.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15.66</td>
<td>120.83</td>
<td>417.51</td>
<td>75.08</td>
<td>629.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>13.31</td>
<td>102.71</td>
<td>354.88</td>
<td>63.82</td>
<td>534.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10.96</td>
<td>84.58</td>
<td>292.26</td>
<td>52.56</td>
<td>440.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Convert mm to m³ = water of mm depth * Area (ha) (10)

- Actual evapotranspiration \( \text{ET}_a = (M_2 \% - M_1 \%) / 100 \cdot d_b \cdot D \) (mm)
  
  Where: \( M_2 \) : moisture content after irrigation %.
  \( M_1 \) : moisture content before irrigation %.
  \( d_b \) : specific density of soil.
  \( D \) : mean depth, mm.

- Water use efficiency \( \text{WUE} = \text{GY} / \text{ET}_a \) (kg/m³) (Howell et al., 2001)
  
  Where: \( \text{GY} \): grain yield of wheat, (kg/ha).

- Irrigation water use efficiency \( \text{IWUE} = \text{GY} / \text{IR} \) (kg/m³) (Michael, 1978)
  
  Where: \( \text{IR} \): seasonal applied irrigation water, (m³), (Table 4).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Effect of IR and PD on studied quality parameters and yield production of wheat varieties:

Data in Figures (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (7) showed that the studied quality parameters and yield production for all wheat varieties increased with increasing applied irrigation water “IR” at the different planting dates “PD”. Also, data revealed that the best of PD for all wheat varieties were 15th Nov. then 1st Nov. The results recorded the same trend for both
seasons 2014/2015 and 2015/2016. The maximum values of Plant height “PH” cm, numbers of spikes “NS” spikes/m², 1000 grain weight “GW” g, grain crude protein content “GCP” %, gluten content “GC” %, harvest index “HI” %, total yield “TY” Mg/ha, straw yield “SY” Mg/ha and grain yield “GY” Mg/ha were 115.24 cm, 403.16 spikes/m², 53.67 g, 15.47 %, 31.98 %, 43.75 %, 15.42 Mg/ha, 9.53 Mg/ha and 5.89 Mg/ha ; 117.39 cm, 418.50 spikes/m², 56.89 g, 15.93 %, 32.13 %, 43.93 %, 15.87 Mg/ha, 9.74 Mg/ha and 6.13 Mg/ha for both seasons respectively, under IR=100% , PD= 15th Nov. and Misr 2 variety treatment. While, the minimum values were 65.04 cm, 279.98 spikes/m², 23.68 g, 8.36 %, 22.07 %, 33.75 %, 9.65 Mg/ha, 6.84 Mg/ha and 2.81 Mg/ha; 69.27 cm, 293.86 spikes/m², 25.91 g, 8.41 %, 22.19 %, 34.31 %, 10.07 Mg/ha, 7.12 Mg/ha and 2.95 Mg/ha for both seasons respectively, under IR= 70%, PD= 15th Oct. and Sakha 94 variety treatment. These results may be attributed to the phasic development stages of wheat are affected by genetic and environmental factors. Planting date had a significant effect on the duration of grain padding. Deficit irrigation decreeing of studied quality parameters and yield production. These results are in harmony with those obtained by (Lak et al., 2013), (Haroun et al., 2012) and (Fazal et al., 2015).

2. Effect of IR and PD on seasonal actual evapotranspiration of wheat varieties:

Data in Figures (6) and (7) find out that the seasonal actual evapotranspiration “ETa”, mm for all wheat varieties increased with increasing IR at the different PD. Also, data showed that the ETa for all wheat varieties increased with delay PD. The results recorded the same trend for both seasons 2014/2015 and 2015/2016. The minimum values of ETa were 167.67 and 161.43 mm for both seasons respectively, under IR= 70%, PD= 15th Oct. and Misr 2 variety treatment. While, the maximum values were 284.34 and 276.82 mm for both seasons respectively, under IR= 100%, PD= 30th Nov. and Sakha 94 variety treatment. These results agree with (Khalil et al. 2005) and (EL Afandi et al. 2010).

3. Effect of IR and PD on WUE and IWUE of wheat varieties:

Data in Figures (6) and (7) illustrated that the water use efficiency “WUE” and irrigation water use efficiency “IWUE” kg/m³ for all wheat varieties increased with decreasing IR at the different PD.
Fig. (2): Effect of applied irrigation water "IR", (mm) and planting date "PD" for wheat crop varieties on Plant height "PH" (cm), numbers of spikes "NS" (spikes/m²), 1000 grain weight "GW" (g) and grain crude protein content "GCP" (%) for season 2014/2015.
Fig. (3): Effect of applied irrigation water "IR", (mm) and planting date "PD" for wheat crop varieties on Plant height "PH" (cm), numbers of spikes "NS" (spikes/m²), 1000 grain weight "GW" (g) and grain crude protein content "GCP" (%) for season 2015/2016.
Fig. (4): Effect of applied irrigation water “IR”, (mm) and planting date “PD” for wheat crop varieties on gluten content “GC” (%), harvest index “HI” (%), total yield “TY” (Mg/ha) and straw yield “SY” (Mg/ha) for season 2014/2015.
Fig. (5): Effect of applied irrigation water “IR”, (mm) and planting date “PD” for wheat crop varieties on gluten content “GC” (%), harvest index “HI” (%), total yield “TY” (Mg/ha) and straw yield “SY” (Mg/ha) for season 2015/2016.
Fig. (6): Effect of applied irrigation water “IR”, (mm) and planting date “PD” for wheat crop varieties on grain yield “GY” (Mg/ha), actual evapotranspiration “ETa” (mm/season), water use efficiency “WUE” (kg/m³) and irrigation water use efficiency “IWUE” (kg/m³) for season 2014/2015.
Fig. (7): Effect of applied irrigation water “IR”, (mm) and planting date “PD” for wheat crop varieties on grain yield “GY” (Mg/ha), actual evapotranspiration “ETa” (mm/season), water use efficiency “WUE” (kg/m³) and irrigation water use efficiency “IWUE” (kg/m³) for season 2015/2016.
The maximum values of wheat WUE and IWUE were recorded 3.08 and 1.56 kg/m$^3$; 3.27 and 1.59 kg/m$^3$ for both seasons respectively, under IR=70%, PD= 1$^{\text{th}}$ Nov. and Misr 2 variety treatment. While, the minimum values were 1.32 and 0.60 kg/m$^3$; 1.43 and 0.63 kg/m$^3$ for both seasons respectively, under IR=100%, PD= 30$^{\text{th}}$ Nov. and Sakha 94 variety treatment. Meanwhile, the values of WUE and IWUE under IR=70%, PD= 1$^{\text{th}}$ Nov. and Misr 2 variety treatment for both seasons were recorded increased significantly by about 21 and 46 %; 23 and 43 % respectively, compared to that under control treatment (IR=100%, PD= 15$^{\text{th}}$ Nov. and Misr 2 variety) treatment. These results were similar obtained by (Salem et al. 2006) and (Poraas et al. 2010).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The biological yield and studied quality parameters for wheat gave the highest values under IR=100%, PD= 15$^{\text{th}}$ Nov. and Misr 2 variety treatment. While, the seasonal ETa for wheat gave the lowest values under IR= 70%, PD= 15$^{\text{th}}$ Oct. and Misr 2 variety treatment. Finally, the values of wheat WUE and IWUE under IR=70%, PD= 1$^{\text{th}}$ Nov. and Misr 2 variety treatment for both seasons were recorded increased significantly by about 21 and 46 %; 23 and 43 % respectively, compared to that under control treatment (IR=100%, PD= 15$^{\text{th}}$ Nov. and Misr 2 variety).

So, it is recommended to application (IR=70%, PD= 1$^{\text{th}}$ Nov. and Misr 2 variety) treatment to cultivate wheat under El-Qantara Sharq conditions because this treatment could be saved about 37 % of added irrigation water versus yield reduction of wheat about 8 and 10% for both seasons respectively, compared to that under control treatment (IR=1 • 0%, PD= 15$^{\text{th}}$ Nov.).
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الملخص العربي

"كفاءة الأستهلاك المائي للقمح وتأثره بالمناخ الزراعي للقنطرة - مصر"

عمرو صادق محمذ و على أحمذ على عبذ العزيز

أجريت هذه التجربة في منطقة القنطرة شرق بمحافظة الأسماعيلية - جمهورية مصر العربية ولات أحداثياتها تالية (30 23 19.5' شمالاً : 32 22 39.2' شرقاً) وأرتفاع 5 متراً فوق مستوى سطح البحر خلال موسم زراعة شتوي 2013/2014 باستخدام التصميم الأحادي القطع المتتابعة ثلاثة مكررات لكل معاملة وتم زراعة ثلاثة أصناف من القمح (سخنا 94 - جميزات 7 - مصر 2) باستخدام أربع موانع للزراعة (15 أكتوبر – 1 نوفمبر - 15 نوفمبر – 30 نوفمبر) تروى بنظام الرى بالرش بثلاثة مراحل من كميات مياه الري المضافة (100 - 85 - 70%). وقد تم دراسة تأثير هذه المتغيرات على كل من نتائج وقياسات الجودة لمحمول القمح وكذلك الأستهلاك المائي الفعلي وكفاءة الأستهلاك المائي والأرواني. وقد أوضحت النتائج المثمانة عليها الآتي:

1 - سجل متوسط المسمى على الترتيب عند زراعته في منتصف نوفمبر، ونسبة 100% من مياه الري. سجل متوسط المسمى على الترتيب عند زراعته في منتصف أكتوبر، ونسبة 70% من مياه الري. سجل متوسط المسمى على الترتيب عند زراعته في منتصف نوفمبر، ونسبة 56% من مياه الري.

2 - وفي النهاية سجل متوسط المسمى على الترتيب عند زراعته في منتصف أكتوبر، ونسبة 56% من مياه الري. ونسبة 3% من مياه الري. ونسبة 1% من مياه الري.

لذا يمكن التوصية بزراعة صنف القمح مصر 2 تحت ظروف منطقة القنطرة شرق في بداية شهري نوفمبر باستخدام 70% من مياه الري المضافة. لأن هذه المعاملة توفر حوالي 43% من مياه الري المضافة مقابل نقص طويل في المحصول يقدر بحوالي 8 و 10% لكل المواسم على الترتيب مقارنة بالمعاملة التقليدية (عند زراعته في منتصف نوفمبر، ونسبة 100% من مياه الري).%

او2 - قسم كيمياء وطبيعة الأراضى – مركز بحوث الصحراوي