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ABSTRACT

The main objective of the present study is to study factors affecting the mechanical grading of peach fruits. The studied parameters were: grading-drum speeds of 4, 7, 10 and 13 rpm (0.05, 0.08, 0.12 and 0.15 m/s), fruit batch of 5, 10, 15 and 20 kg and types of grading cylinder (PVC and rubber coated cylinder) using constant grading tilt angle of 7°. The main results in this study can be summarized in the following points: The maximum grading-efficiency of 98.9 % were obtained with grading speed of 4 - 7 rpm and feed rate of 5 kg. Meanwhile, the minimum grading-efficiency of 83.6 % were obtained with grading speed of 13 rpm., and feed rate of 20 kg. The maximum fruit damage of 4.14 % was obtained with grading speed of 13 rpm (0.13 m/s) fruit batch of 5 kg and PVC cylinder. Meanwhile, the minimum fruit-damage of zero and 0.07 % was obtained with grading speed of 4 rpm (0.05 m/s), fruit batch of 20 kg and rubber-coated cylinder. The maximum machine productivity of 1075.6 kg/h was obtained with grading speed of 13 rpm (0.13 m/s) fruit batch of 20 kg. Meanwhile, the minimum machine productivity of 295.9 kg/h was obtained with grading speed of 4 rpm (0.05 m/s), fruit batch of 5 kg. The operation and production costs and rubber-coated cylinder) were 12.82 L.E./h and 26.98 L.E./ton at optimum conditions (grading speed of 7 rpm or 0.08 m/s, fruit batch of 10 kg and rubber-coated cylinder.

1- INTRODUCTION

Cultivated area of fruits in Egypt is 1.20 million fed. Peach is the major horticultural crops in Egypt. It is cultivation area is about 78.494 fed, and the total production of Peach in Egypt is about 224.183 ton, (Agric. Statistics Economic Affairs Sector, 2006). Peach considered from fruits with stone-fruits, as known, Amin (1994) developed and tested a grading machine consisting of rotating cylinder and perforated concave to grade potatoes crop.
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The obtained results showed that crop parameters such as (tubers dimensions and mass) and machine parameters such as (cell area and shape, drum speed, slop of drum axle and drum length) have a significant effect on grading efficiency. The specifies of machine at drum speed of 25 rpm and slop of zero degree was 1.2 Mg/h with tuber damage of 0.23 %. El-Raie et al. (1998) designed and fabricated grading machine for orange using diverging bar and roller cylinder. The results showed that, the optimum speed of feeding conveyor was 70 rpm., the most suitable lines for the grading unit were the cylinders system, and the most suitable tilt angle of grading unit ranged between 0.052-0.105 rad. (3-6 degree). Mousa (1998) found that the mean values of diameter ranged from about 69 to 84 mm; height ranged from about 57 to 87 mm; mass ranged from about 160 to 208 g; volume ranged from 188 to 241 mm for Navel, Baladi, Acidless and Valencia orange varieties. The height values limited the distance between grading lines and shape and size of the distributed buckets on the conveyor belt. According to that, the bucket design was: length of 650 mm and diameter of 100 mm more than the biggest height of orange fruits. Matouk et al. (1999) designed and constructed a portable chine for sorting, cleaning and grading sphere-like crops such as orange and tomatoes. They concluded that, at any sieve slop the range of 0.87-0.349 rad. (0-5 deg) and all sieve king speed in the range of 150-300 rpm mechanical damage percentage of fruits increased as the speed of fruits feeding chain increased from 0.15-0.3 m/s. They added that at high sieve rocking speed the grading efficiency of fruit decreased. Abd-Alla et al. (2000) reported that the grading efficiency was decreased with increasing both fruit feeding speed and tilt angle of grading unit. The grading efficiency was increased with the increase of critical distance to open the pivoted beam from 0.04-0.1 m and start to decrease at critical distance of 0.13 m. Patrick (2002) mentioned that there are many methods of grading (grading system) were used: Lift roller seizer. The product is sized by lifting alternate rollers to vary the gap between rollers,screen grader. The product is sized by passing over a series of mesh screen. Genidy (2003) stated that the machine grading capacity increased by 22.2 % when the cylinder speed of feeding was increased from 10-40 rpm.
(0.11-0.42 m/s) at different levels of tilt angles during grading the muskmelon. **Mostafa (2003)** developed and fabricated an appropriate system for grading onion bulbs by size. He showed that, the optimum operational conditions at 0.23 m/s and zero gerundial angles achieved maximum grading efficiency of 9 % for giza 20 onion variety. **Xiaoyang et al. (2003)** developed a prototype automated pectin ayatem to classify apples based on bruising in real. The results show that the Raman spectroscope permits non-structive bruise determation with good results. The objective of this investigation is to study the factors affecting the mechanical grading of peach fresh-fruits such as grading speed, fruit-batch mass and grading cylinders material (PVC and rubber-coated).

### 2- MATERIALS AND METHODS

**2-1 Materials:**

**2-1-1 Fruits used in this investigation.**

Ripe fresh peach fruit “Hegazey” variety was used in this study. All measurements were done using a random sample of 100 fruits. The samples were taken randomly from peach trees (special farm in El Sharkia Governorate at acceptable harvesting date) and from "El Oboor" Market; the fruits were cleaned to remove all foreign matter such as dust, dirt, immature and damaged fruits and the measurements were taken in the same day.

**2-1-2 Grading machine specifications (designed by El-Raie et al., 2012).**

Overall length, width, and height of grading machine are 860, 960, and 1070 mm, respectively. The grading machine consists of the following parts (fig. 1).

(a) **Fruit box:** made of wood sheet with thickness of 6 mm, top dimensions of 350 x 330 mm and bottom dimensions of 350 x 80 mm. The rear side of hopper has the angle with horizontal plane of 60 degree.

(b) **Fruit bin:** made of wood sheet with thickness of 24 mm and dimensions of 800 x 525 x 270 mm. The fruit box was supported with
rear side of fruit bin by two bolts. The rear side of the fruit bin is inclined with horizontal plane by \(7^0\).

(c) **Frame:** made of iron angles with dimensions of 30 x 30 x 3 mm. The frame has length of 760 mm, width of 660 mm and rear height of 550 mm and front height of 460 mm. The frame is inclined to front direction (grading-direction) by \(7^0\).

(d) **Grading revolving-drums:** three revolving drums were made of PVC with diameter of 200 mm and length of 430 mm, thickness of 4 mm and spacing 6 mm. The three grading-drums have holes diameter of 35, 40 and 45 mm and number of holes of 111, 104 and 77 respectively. Iron metal-sheet of 35 mm length, 32 mm width and 1.5 mm thickness was assembled upper grading-drums spacing and bolted on two sides of fruit bin.

(e) **Fruit cutoff and chute:** was made of PVC sheet with length of 450 mm and width of 160 mm. Three fruit cutoffs were passed inside the three grading drums and were hinged with left side of frame by cantilevers. The fruits roll on the concaved part of cutoff (chute) and drop into funnels. The curved part prevents the jamming of fruits. The total inclined-angle of cutoff and chute is \(11.5^0\).

(f) **Power transmission:** An electrical motor with power of 0.225 kW (0.3 hp) is used to operate grading machine. Chains and sprockets were used to transmit the available power from the motor driven shaft to each cylinder in grading units.

### 2-1-3 Instruments:

(a) **Digital caliper with vernier:** with accuracy of 0.01 mm, to measure different dimensions of peach fruits.

(b) **Digital balance:** with accuracy of 0.2 g, to measure mass of peach fruits.

(c) **Graduated cylinder:** of 1000 mL with accuracy of 25 mL to determine the real density and volume of fruit by immersion in water.

(d) **Friction and rolling-angle measuring device:** An inclined plane was used to measure friction and rolling angles.
(e) **Friction angle measurement:** the fruits are placed as a group bounded together on a horizontal surface then the angle of inclination is gradually increased until the fruits begin sliding without rolling. For
each fruits group of an average sample of (10), the friction angles were determined.

(f) Rolling angle measurement: the fruits are placed on a horizontal surface one by one then the angle of inclination is gradually increased until the fruits begin to roll. For each fruit of an average sample (50), two angles of rolling are determined: for the maximum stable (with their base down) and minimum stable positions.

2-2 Methods:
2-2-1 The studied variables:
The following variables were tested to show their effect on grading efficiency, fruit damage and productivity:

1- Grading speed: Four different grading speeds of 4, 7, 10 and 13 rpm (0.05, 0.08, 0.12 and 0.15 m/s),
2- Fruit batch: Four different feed rates of 5, 10, 15 and 20 kg.
3- Grading-cylinders material: Two different types of PVC and rubber coated.

2-2-2 Physical properties of the peach fruits:
A random sample of one hundred fruits was taken from peach fruit (Hegazey variety) to measure physical properties.
The shape of peach fruit (Hegazey variety) was studied in terms of fruit height (H) and diameter (D) in mm. The digital caliper was used to measure different principal dimensions of fruit. Fruit shape index was taken as mentioned by Buyanov and Voronyuk, (1985). The following equations were used to calculate sphericity, projected area and real density according to Mohsenin, (1986) as follows:

(a) Sphericity ratio = fruit height (H) / fruit diameter (D) --------------- (1)
(b) Projected area = 4/π (D * H) ------------------------------- (2)
(c) Real density = Mass / Volume -------------------------------------- (3)

2-2-3 Mechanical properties of the peach fruits:
(a) Rolling-angle measurement: The fruits are placed on a horizontal surface one by one then the angle of inclination is gradually increased until the fruits begin to roll. For each fruit of an average sample (50), the angle was determined for the maximum stable.
(b) Friction-angle measurement: The fruits are placed as a group bounded together on a horizontal surface then the angle of inclination
is gradually increased until the fruits begin sliding without rolling. For each fruits group of an average sample (10), the friction angles were determined.

2-2-4 Machine performances:

(a) **Grading productivity:** It was calculated by using the following formula according to Amin (1994):

\[ P = \frac{3600 \times M}{T} \]  

Where: \( P \) = Grading productivity, kg/h. \( M \) = Mass of sample, kg and \( T \) = Time in seconds.

(b) **Grading efficiency:** The grading efficiency (\( \mu \)) of each outlet has been calculated according to El-Raie et al. (2012) as follows:

\[ \eta_1 = \frac{M_{o1}}{M_{i1}}, \eta_2 = \frac{M_{o2}}{M_{i1}}, \eta_3 = \frac{M_{o3}}{M_{i1}}, \text{ and } \eta_4 = \frac{M_{o4}}{M_{i1}} \]  

Where: \( \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \) and \( \eta_4 \): Grading efficiency of fruits for each outlet in the machine, \%.

\( M_{i1}, M_{i2}, M_{i3} \) and \( M_{i4} \): Mass of each class inside fruit hopper, kg and \( M_{o1}, M_{o2}, M_{o3} \) and \( M_{o4} \): Mass of the fruit for each outlet in the machine, kg.

(c) **Machine grading efficiency (Total grading efficiency):** The total grading efficiency machine (\( \eta \)) has been calculated using the following equation:

\[ \eta = \frac{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4}{4} \]  

(d) **Mechanical damage:** Percentage of mechanical damage was calculated by using the following formula:

\[ Df = \frac{N_d}{N_t} \times 100 \]  

Where: \( N_d \) = Number of damaged fruits and \( N_t \) = Total number of fruits.

(e) **Estimating the costs of using the machine:** The operation cost of washing machine was calculated according to the following equation given by Awady, 1978 modified for electrical motor drive:

\[ C = P/h(1/a+I/2+t+r)+(w.e)+m/144 \]  

Where: \( C \) = hourly cost, \( P \) = price of machine, \( h \) = yearly working-hours, \( a \) = life expected of machine, \( I \) = interest rate/year, \( t \) = taxes and overhead ratio, \( w \) = power of motor in kW, \( e= \) hourly cost/kW.h, and \( m/144 = \)
monthly wage ratio. Notice that all units have to be consistent to result in “C = LE/h”.

Operating cost (L.E./ton) = machine cost (LE/h) / machine productivity (ton/h)

3- RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3-1 Physical properties of peach fruit (Hegazey variety):
Table 1 shows dimensions, sphericity, mass, volume and bulk density of peach fruits. These data were measured of 100 fruit sample, according to the standard set in (Mohsenin 1986).

Table 1: physical properties of peach fruit (Hegazey variety).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical properties</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter, mm.</td>
<td>49.04</td>
<td>41.63</td>
<td>45.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, mm.</td>
<td>46.82</td>
<td>40.55</td>
<td>43.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphericity.</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass, g.</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume, cm³.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real density, g/cm³.</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Dimensions of fruit.
Table 1 shows that the fruit diameter (D) and height (H) ranges of sample were 41.63 - 49.04 mm (average 45.78 mm) and 40.55 - 46.82 mm (average 43.72 mm) respectively. Fig. 2 indicates the percentage of frequency is 55 % for peach fruits at mean fruits diameter of about 37 mm and mean fruits height of about 40 mm with the percentage of frequency 45 %.

(b) Shape and size.
If sphericity is less than 0.9, the fruit belongs to oblate group, if it is greater than 1.1 it belongs to oblong group, the remaining fruits with intermediate index values are considered to be round (Buyanov and Voronyuk, 1985). Table 1 indicates that the fruit sphericity ranged in sample between 0.95 - 0.97 % (average 0.96 %) of peach fruit (Hegazey variety).

(c) Mass, volume and bulk density.
Table 1 indicates that the peach fruit (Hegazey variety) mass and volume ranges of sample were 42 - 51 g, (average 46.5 g) and 32-50 cm³ (average 40 cm³), respectively. Also, the fruit bulk density of sample were 1.02-1.31 g/cm³ (average 1.17 g/cm³).
3-2 Mechanical properties of the fruits.
Table 2 shows friction and rolling angles of peach fruit (Hegazey variety). The maximum friction angle of 43 - 40 degree (average 41.5) and rolling angle of 38-25 degree (average 31.5 degree) were obtained with wood surface. Whereas, the minimum range of friction angle 20 - 18 degree (average 19 degree) and rolling angles 25 - 21 degree (average 23 degree) were obtained metal and stainless steel surface.

Table 2: Mechanical properties of peach fruit (Hegazey variety).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface type</th>
<th>Friction angle, Degree</th>
<th>Rolling angle, degree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless steel</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3-3 Effect of grading speed and feed rate on machine grading efficiency.
Fig. 3 shows the effect of grading speed and feed rate on machine grading efficiency for PVC and rubber-coated cylinders. By increasing grading-speed and fruit batch the grading efficiency decreased in general. There was a negative effect by increasing grading speed from 4 to 13 rpm and increasing fruit batch from 5 to 20 kg.
The maximum grading-efficiency of 98.9 % were obtained with grading speed of 4 - 7 rpm and feed rate of 5 kg. Meanwhile, the minimum grading-efficiency of 83.6 % were obtained with grading speed of 13 rpm., and feed rate of 20 kg.

The grading machine efficiency decreased by increasing grading speed is due do quick fruits passing over the grading revolving drums so decreasing the grading time of grading fruits. Meanwhile, the decreasing grading machine efficiency by increasing fruit batch is due to the fruits accumulated on the surface of drums.

Factors affecting grading efficiency were combined into prediction regression-equation under experiment and machine conditions as follows:

$$\eta = \frac{1.35}{(S^{0.33} \cdot M^{0.53})}$$

Where:

- $\eta$ = Grading efficiency, %.
- $S$ = Grading speed, rpm (4 -13 rpm or 0.05 – 0.15 m/s).
- $M$ = Batch mass, kg (ranged between 5 – 20 kg).

3-4 Effect of grading speed, fruit batch and type of grading cylinder on fruit damage.

Fig. 4 shows the effect of grading speed, fruit batch and type of grading cylinder (PVC cylinder and rubber coated cylinders) on fruit damage.
The fruit damage increased by increasing grading speed and decreased by increasing fruit batch for P.V.C. and rubber-coated cylinders. The maximum fruit damage of 4.14% was obtained with grading speed of 13 rpm (0.15 m/s) fruit batch of 5 kg and PVC cylinder. Meanwhile, the minimum fruit-damage of zero and 0.07% was obtained with grading speed of 4 rpm (0.05 m/s) and fruit batch of 20 kg and rubber-coated cylinder.
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Fig. 4: Effect of grading speed, fruit batch and type of grading cylinder (PVC and rubber-coated cylinders) on fruit damage.

The increasing of fruit damage by increasing grading speed is due to increasing impact force among fruits surface and grading drums. Meanwhile, the increasing of fruit damage of PVC cylinder is due to increasing friction among fruits surface comparing with rubber coated cylinder.

Factors affecting fruit damage were combined into prediction regression-equation under experiment and machine conditions as follows:

\[ D_a = C_1 S^{c_2} / M^{C_3} \]

Where:

\[ D_a = \text{Fruit damage, \%} \]
S = Grading speed, rpm (4 -13 rpm or 0.05 – 0.15 m/s).
M = Batch mass, kg (ranged between 5 – 20 kg).

Table 3 shows constants of fruit-damage prediction equation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grading drum</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PVC</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber coated</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5 Effect of grading speed and fruit batch on grading productivity.

Data in Fig. 6 shows the effect of grading-speed and fruit batch on grading productivity by using rubber coated cylinder.

By increasing grading-speed and fruit batch the grading productivity increased in general for peach fruits.

The maximum machine productivity of 1075.6 kg/h was obtained with grading speed of 13 rpm (0.15 m/s) and fruit batch of 20 kg. Meanwhile, the minimum machine productivity of 295.9 kg/h was obtained with grading speed of 4 rpm (0.05 m/s), and fruit batch of 5 kg.

Fig. 5: Effect of grading speed and fruit batch on grading machine productivity.
The increasing of grading-machine productivity by increasing grading speed and fruit batch is due to decreasing the time of grading and fruit mass respectively. Factors affecting grading-machine productivity were combined into prediction regression-equation under experiment and machine conditions as follows:

\[
P = 2.15 S^{0.98} \cdot M^{0.14}
\]

Where:
- \( P \) = Grading efficiency, %.
- \( S \) = Grading speed, rpm (4 - 13 rpm or 0.05 – 0.15 m/s).
- \( M \) = Batch mass, kg (ranged between 5 – 20 kg).

3-6 Cost of using the designed grading-machine.
The operation and production costs and rubber-coated cylinder) were 12.82 L.E./h and 26.98 L.E./ton at optimum conditions (grading speed of 7 rpm or 0.08 m/s, fruit batch of 10 kg and rubber-coated cylinder.

CONCLUSION
The optimum conditions of grading machine to be operated at the maximum efficiency are: grading speed of 7 rpm (0.08 m/s), fruit batch of 10 kg and rubber-coated cylinder which gave grading efficiency of 97.6 %, fruit damage of 0.37 %, productivity of 475.1 kg/h, operation and production costs of 12.82 L.E./h and 26.98 L.E./ton respectively.
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**الملخص العربي**

عوامل مؤثرة على التدريج الميكانيكي لثمار الخوخ

أ.د. إبراهيم يحيى (١)، د. جمال كمال عرفة (٢)، د. أحمد عبد الرشيد (٣)

يهدف البحث إلى دراسة العوامل المؤثرة التدريج الميكانيكي لثمار الخوخ. وكانت عوامل الدراسة هي: سرعة درافيل التدريج (٤،٧،٦،٠ لفة/د)، ودفعه التغذية (٥،٠،١،٥) كج ونوع من الدراجين الدوارة PVC ونوع من التلف الميكانيكي والازاحة، وتم قياس كفاءة عملية التدريج وتقدير نسبة التلف الميكانيكي والازاحة. وكان ملخص أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها كالتالي:

١. تأثير سرعة أسطوانات التدريج وكمية الثمار على كفاءة التدريج. تم الحصول على أعلى كفاءة تدريج ثمار لثمر ٩٨.٩% عند سرعة تدريج ٤ -٧ لفة/د (٥.٠،٠،٠ م/ث) وكمية دفعة تغذية للثمار ٥ كج. بينما تم الحصول على أقل كفاءة تدريج ثمار ٨٣.٦% عند سرعة تدريج ١٣ لفة/د (١٥.٠ م/ث ) وكمية دفعة تغذية للثمار ٢٠ كج.

٢. (١) أ.د. إبراهيم يحيى (٢) د. جمال كمال عرفة (٣)

Misr J. Ag. Eng., October 2017 - ١٧٥٥ -
(2) تأثير سرعة أسطوانات التدريج وكمية الثمار على التلف.
تم الحصول على أعلى نسبة تلف للثمار الخوخ 14.4% عند سرعة تدريج 13 لفة/د.م (0.15 م/ث) وكمية دفعة تغذية للثمار 5 كج. بينما تم الحصول على أقل نسبة تلف للثمار الخوخ 7.07% عند سرعة تدريج 4 لفة/د (0.5 م/ث) وكمية دفعة تغذية للثمار 20 كج.

(3) تأثير سرعة أسطوانات التدريج وكمية الثمار على الإنتاجية.
تم الحصول على أعلى إنتاجية للثمار الخوخ 1.757 كج/ساعة عند سرعة تدريج 13 لفة/د.م (0.15 م/ث) وكمية دفعة تغذية للثمار 50 كج. بينما تم الحصول على أقل إنتاجية للثمار تدريج الخوخ 0.959 كج/ساعة عند سرعة تدريج 4 لفة/د (0.5 م/ث) وكمية دفعة تغذية للثمار 5 كج.

(3) تكاليف استخدام الآلة.
وجد أن تكاليف التشغيل والإنتاج 22 جنيه/س و 27.82 جنيه/س عند أفضل ظروف تشغيل وهي: سرعة تدريج 7 لفة/د.م أو 0.8 م/ث وكمية دفعة تغذية للثمار 10 كج.