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ABSTRACT

Wind energy is utilized for ground water lifting to irrigate small area at the North West Coast of Egypt where there are several hundreds of windmills in use for this purpose. A survey was conducted on about one hundred windmills to investigate the intensity of their use and problems encountered by farmers using these windmills. The survey showed that the main problem was their small daily discharge especially during the low wind speed season. A solution of this problem can be achieved by reducing the starting wind speed and increasing the discharge rate of the windmill. Three modifications were attempted on a locally made windmill installed in a farm at Sidi Krere City, West of Alexandria. The first modification was replacing a (1 inch) diameter delivery pipe instead of the standard one (1.5 inch), the second modification was using a counterweight device, and the third modification was using a two-pumps mechanism. Tests showed that the first modification gave negative results on both the starting wind speed and the performance of the windmill, while the second modification was the best one. It reduced the starting wind speed only and the third modification gave good results regarding starting wind speed and discharge rate where it was possible to increase the discharge rate by about 30% for wind speed for 3 to 4 m/s and about 70% for wind speed from 7 to 8 m/s.

Generally, the use of the third modification is one of the best solutions for increasing capacities of water lifting windmills which are used in the North West Coast, especially and in low wind speeds regions.

INTRODUCTION

With the decrease of the world stock of hydrocarbons the continually increased demand for energy presently, and the fear of expanding pollution, wind energy has come to the front.
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This type of energy is one of the most flexible of all renewable energy sources at many areas of countries. Because of, wind energy can be used in different purposes such as: irrigation, electricity generating, crop drying, grain grinding and also many other purposes and that after converting wind power to mechanical power by windmills as indicated by Ushiyama (1992).

In Egypt, wind energy is utilized for ground water lifting to irrigate small areas or generating electricity at the North West Coast of region where there are several hundreds of windmills in use for these purposes. On other hand, the survey field was conducted on about one hundred windmills to investigate the intensity of their use and problems encountered by farmers using these windmills. The survey result showed that the main problem was their small daily discharge especially during the low wind speed season. A solution of this problem can be achieved by reducing starting wind speed and increasing the discharge rate of the windmill.

The present study was carried out to improve and to evaluate the locally made windmill performance with objective of increasing water discharge and reducing the starting wind speed.

**REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

The most common type of windmill used for pumping water is the horizontal - axis multibladed windmill called American multibladed windmill as indicated by Hengeveld (1978). These units were still operational in many countries for cattle watering. The water resources of the North West Coast of Egypt were described by Balba (1981). He reported that ground water deep in this region ranged from 5 to 50m, the source of this region ranged from 5 to 50m, the source of this water is the rain water. Bas and Mobarak (1981) indicated that the Ministry of energy and electricity in Egypt has carried out several studies to measure the wind speed and its duration at various favorable location in Egypt. These studies determined the feasibility of using wind energy conversion system especially along the coast of the red and Mediterranean seas. The view of results showed the wind duration at Ras-Ghareb, Hurgada and Borg El-Arab. It is clear that the red sea Resort of Hurgada is an ideals site for wind farm projects with a 5 MW station already in operation at the site. Gourieres (1982) showed that the wind energy is generally stronger over oceans than over continents. But also, the most favorable areas for wind energy production are situated on
the continents near the sea shoveling. In addition, he reported that, rotor diameter of multibladed windmill ranges from 2 to 8m. and can start freely with winds ranging from 2 to 3 m/s. He also showed that a maximum power coefficient (Report power / wind power) of 0.3 could be obtained at tip - speed ratio (Rotor linear speed / wind speed) \( \lambda = 1 \).

However the annual average wind speed in the North West Coast in Egypt is greater than 3 m/s for over 6750 hr/year at El-Alamuim and over 7300 hr/year at Bourg El-Arab as indicated by Electricity and Energy of Egypt (1982). Meel et al (1984) reported that the safety system used with the American multibladed windmill to avoid the racing of the rotor at high wind speed is eccentric system. The rotor is mounted eccentrically to the orientation axis and the spilling moment is due to the aerodynamic forces acting on the rotor. The inclination of the rotor axis relative to the wind direction diminishes the swept area.

Rao et al (1985) indicated that the performance of windmills relating the wind speed and water output as influences by the area swept by the rotors and depth of the water table was theoretically and was presented graphically. Clark and Mulh (1992) reported that American multibladed windmills operate generally at lower than wind electric generators. In addition, they found that the discharge rate of the American windmill was twice as much at the low wind speed ranged from (3 - 4.5 m/s), but at high wind speed greater than 5 m/s the wind electric system pumped more than as much water as American windmill. On other hand, two American multibladed windmills with single acting piston pump were compared by the USDA - Agric. Res service, Bush land, Taxas as reported by Clark. (1992). The main difference between the two windmills was the number of rotor blades (18 and 15 blades). The result of comparison of the discharge rate indicated that there are no significant differences between the two windmills discharge, but windmill (18 blades) discharge was slightly less than other windmill (15 blades). Hassan et al. (1994) carried out experiments on rotors with different number of blades. They found that the multibladed rotor was more efficient for low wind speed.
THEORETICAL ANALYSIS FOR READUCING STARTING WINDSPEED OF THE WINDMILL:
Gourieres (1982) indicated that the efforts applied to the pump stroke mechanism are determined from torque transmitted to the rotor axis, as follows:

\[ T_{p1} = K \cdot (a) \cdot (P + H \cdot S \cdot W) / \eta \] ..........................................................(1)

\[ T_{p2} = K \cdot (a) \cdot (P) / \eta \] ..........................................................(2)

Where:
- \( T_{p1} \) and \( T_{p2} \): Maximum pump torques on rotor axis of windmill at up and down strokes for single acting pump.
- \( K \): Gear ratio.
- \( a \): Radius of circle described by the end of connecting rod.
- \( W \): Specific weight of water.
- \( S \): Cross-sectional area of water column.
- \( P \): Total weight of reciprocating parts
- \( H \): Pumping lift.
- \( \eta_{mech} \): Mechanical efficiency.

On the other hand, the general equation which describes the rotor torque (due to wind) on rotor axis of the windmill as reported by Hengeveld (1978) as follows:

\[ T_r = 0.5 \rho \pi R^3 V^2 C_T \] ......................................................... (3)

Where:
- \( T_r \): Rotor Torque.
- \( \rho \): Air density.
- \( R \): Rotor radius.
- \( V \): Free wind speed
- \( C_T \): Torque Coefficient

It is known that rotor of windmill start motion, the rotor torque \( (T_r) \) must be greater than or equal to the pump torque \( (T_{p1}) \) at up stroke for single acting pump. I.e.:

\[ T_r \geq T_{p1} \]

From equation (1) and equation (3)
The force (F_e) continues to act during the down stroke of the piston, but it has a resisting effect. In this case, the pump torque on rotor axis at down stroke (T_p2) which described in equation (2); its value rises to:

\[ T_{p2} = K \cdot \eta_{mech} \cdot (P + H \cdot S \cdot W - F_c) \]
Smoothest possible windmill rotation is obtained when the condition \( T_p^1 = T_p^2 \) is satisfied, i.e. when:

\[
F_c = P + \frac{H \cdot S \cdot W}{2}
\]

Then, the value of pump torque on rotor axis at up and down stroke include \( (\eta_{mech}) \) is:

\[
T_{p1} = T_{p2} = K \cdot (a) \cdot \left[ \frac{(H \cdot S \cdot W)}{2} \right] \quad \text{.................................................. (9)}
\]

From this analysis the equation describes the starting wind speed will be determined as follows:

\[
T_r \geq T_{p1}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \rho \pi R^3 V^2 C_T \geq \frac{(a) \cdot (K) \left( H \cdot S \cdot W \right)}{2 \eta_{mech}}
\]

\[
V_s \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \left[ \frac{H \cdot S \cdot W}{2} \right] (a) \cdot (K)}{\rho \cdot \pi R^3 C_T \eta_{mech}}} \quad \text{.................................................. (10)}
\]

**Third attempt:**

Using a second pump unit with windmill in place of counter weight so that when, the first pump piston at up stroke, the second pump piston will be at down stroke and vice versa. The pump torque at up stroke of first piston can be determined by subtracting the pump torque described in equation (2) from the pump torque described in equation (1) and taking in account \( (P_e, P_o) \) the weight of reciprocating part under and above the pulley of mechanism respectively as shown in the following:

\[
T_{p1} = \frac{\left[ (H \cdot S \cdot W + P_e + P_o) \ (a) \cdot K \right] - \left[ (P_e) \ (a) \cdot K \right]}{\eta_{mech}}
\]

\[
T_{p1} = \frac{(H \cdot S \cdot W + P_e) \ (a) \cdot K}{\eta_{mech}} \quad \text{................................. (11)}
\]

**Where:**

- \( T_{p1} \): Pump torque at up stroke of first piston
- \( P_e \) and \( P_o \): weights of reciprocating parts under and above the pulley.
of mechanism.
On the other side, the pump torque at down stroke of the first piston \( (T_{p2}) \) can be determined by subtracting the pump torque described in equation (2) from the pump torque described in equation (1) and also taking in account \( (P = P_e + P_o) \) as follows:

\[
T_{p2} = \frac{\left[ (H \cdot S \cdot W) \cdot (a) \cdot K - (P_e + P_o) \cdot (a) \cdot K \right]}{\eta_{mech}}
\]

\[
T_{p2} = \frac{[H \cdot S \cdot W - P_o] \cdot (a) \cdot K}{\eta_{mech}}
\]

According to equations (11) and (12) the windmill rotor always stops during the up stroke of the first piston. In this condition, the equation describes the stalling wind speed will be determined as follows:

\[
T_r \geq T_{p1}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \rho \pi R^3 V^2 \frac{C_T}{\eta_{mech}} \geq \frac{(H \cdot S \cdot W) \cdot (a) \cdot K}{\eta_{mech}}
\]

\[
V_s \geq \frac{2 \cdot [H \cdot S \cdot W + P_o] \cdot (a) \cdot (K)}{\rho \cdot \pi R^3 C \eta_{mech}}
\]

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

(a): Materials

To satisfy the objectives of this research work, a locally made windmill was prepared at Sidi Krere Alexandria West, Egypt. The main part of the windmill is shown in Fig. (2). It has the following specifications:

Windmill for. using of this research locally manufactured by Military factory-99, Helwan. Egypt. The rotor of windmill has 15 blades and its diameter 2.43 m. Material of blades was galvanized sheet iron. Tower of this windmill height was 8.6 meters. Using pump of this research was piston type, its stroke 14 cm and its inner diameter 7.6 cm. In addition, two size of delivery pipes were used, namely 1.5 inch (3.8 cm) and 1 inch (2.54 cm). Gear ration 0.3 (speed of driven gear to drive gear). Pumping lift is 9.2 meter (height of water lifted from water level in well to outlet pipe level). Well of water is manually dug well at 8 meter depth and cross sectional area 2.25 m². Counter-weight device is a weights of 25 Kg to balance the weight of the lift-rod and piston plus half
Fig 1 Sketch shows the comparison of theoretical starting windspeed for the windmill before modification and after three modifications.
Fig. (2): Windmill with two pumps mechanism

Table (1): Performance of windmill after second modification (counter-weight used)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wind Speed (m/s)</th>
<th>Rotational Speed of Rotor (r.p.m)</th>
<th>Discharge Rate (L/min)</th>
<th>Theoretical wind power (W)</th>
<th>Net Hydraulic Power (W)</th>
<th>Overall power coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>11.64 0.02</td>
<td>1.82 0.02</td>
<td>45.21</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22.6 0.57</td>
<td>3.80 0.04</td>
<td>78.03</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>76.1 5.38</td>
<td>13.66 0.98</td>
<td>381.26</td>
<td>20.49</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>79.7 0.85</td>
<td>14.54 0.08</td>
<td>409.82</td>
<td>21.81</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>88.4 6.01</td>
<td>16.11 0.98</td>
<td>624.24</td>
<td>24.16</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>107.2 3.14</td>
<td>18.41 0.98</td>
<td>991.27</td>
<td>29.11</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AVG = Average
SD = Standard deviation
of the pumping load. It was attached with the wire end of the two pumps mechanism instead of second pump unit as show in Fig. (1 b).

A two pumps mechanism was designed and installed on the windmill as show in Fig. (1 C) The main parts of this mechanism are as follows: Pulley with ball bearing was outer diameter 15 cm. In addition, a steel wire of 0.75 cm diameter was used, two ends of this wire are fixed to lift rood as shown in Fig. (2).

During operation of the wind-mill, having two pumps mechanism, the first lift rod goes down as the second one goes up and vice versa. An analogue cup anemometer (made in Germany-its precision 0.25 m/s). measured wind speed. This anemometer was fixed on a post at the same level of wind-mill rotor axis and away about 10 meter from the wind-mill.

(B): Methods
Discharge rat (Q) of the wind-mill was calculated by using the following equation:

\[ Q = \frac{\text{Volume of water collected}}{\text{Time}} \]

1/min

The rotational speed of rotor (N) was calculated by using the following equation:

\[ N = \frac{R_c \cdot (60)}{k} \]
r.p.m

Where:

R\(_c\): Rate of delivery cycles (cycle/sec)
K : Gear ratio

Rate of delivery cycles (Rc) was calculated by dividing the total number of delivery cycles by the total run time:

The Volumetric efficiency of the pump (\(\eta_V\)) was calculated by using following equations:

\[ \eta_V = \frac{\text{actual stroke volume}}{\text{Theoretical stroke volume}} \]

\[ \text{actual stroke volume} = \frac{\text{water collected volume}}{\text{No. of delivery cycles}} \]
Theoretical stroke volume = $\frac{\pi \cdot D_p^2 \cdot L}{4}$

Where:
Dp: diameter of pump cylinder (cm).
L : stroke Length (cm).

The hydraulic power of the wind-mill (PH) was calculated from the following equation as indicated by recommended by Meel et al (1984) International Energy Agency (IEA) as:

$$P_H = H \cdot Q \cdot W$$

Where:
PH: Net hydraulic Power (W)
H : Pumping lift (m)
W : Specific weight of water (9800 N/m3)

Theoretical wind power (Pw) was calculated from following equation as indicated by Goureires (1982).

$$P_w = \frac{1}{2} \rho \cdot S_r \cdot V^3$$

Where:
Pw: Theoretical wind power (w)
$\rho$: Air density (1.25 Kg/m$^3$).
Sr: Swept area of rotor (4.63 m$^2$).
V : Wind speed (m/s).

Overall power coefficient (Cpo) was calculated by following equation:

$$C_{po} = \frac{P_H}{P_w}$$

(C): Test Procedure
The find out the wind-mill performance, the volume of water collected, time, number of delivery cycles and wind speed were measured simultaneously.

The persons were responsible for carrying out the experiments. The first person was responsible of reading of wind speed and time. The second person for announcing the starting ending of the run. In the same time,
the second person collects the discharged water in a graduated flasks of capacity 18 liter. The third person records the number of delivery cycles of the run. Starting wind speed was measured at low wind speed condition (0 - 3.5 m/s). The wind speed was recorded when the wind-mill rotor started to move. This procedure was repeated under the to the following four condition:
(a) The wind-mill with single acting pump and with a delivery pipe of 1.5 inch diameter (before modification).
(b) The windmill with single acting pump and with a delivery pipe of 1 inch diameter (first improvement attempt).
(c) The wind-mill with signal acting pump, with a delivery pipe of 1.5 inch and counter weight device (second improvement attempt).
(d) The wind-mill with two pumps and two delivery pipes of 1.5 inch (Third improvement attempt).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Results is presented for the original design first then for the three modification as the following:

a- Windmill performance before modification

1- Rotational speed of rotor:
The relationship between rotor speed and wind-speed is shown in Fig (3). Results show that this relationship is a polynomial of second degree and agrees with Clark (1992). Result showed also the rotor motion at an average wind-speed 2.8 m/s and the maximum rotor speed about 111 r.p.m at wind-speed 8 m/s after which, the rotor is slowed by the rotor furling to avoid the racing of the rotor as the wind-speed increases

2- Discharge rate:
Results are shown in Fig. (4) where the discharge rate increased with wind-speed but this increasing decreased as wind-speed increased up to the furling speed of 8 m/s corresponding to 111 r.p.m. rotor speed. The maximum discharge rate is obtained was 20.18 L/min at wind-speed 8 m/s. It is worth noting that the predicted discharge rate is about 7 L/min at wind-speed 3.5 m/s, a speed considered to represent the average annual speed for the North West Coast (Researches unit of Agri.
Thus the annual discharge for the windmill before modification at pumping lift 9.2 m is expected to about 3700 m$^3$/ year. The relation between discharge rate and wind-speed is similar to that of rotational speed since rotor speed is proportional to discharge rate. The relation can be represented by the following equation (Using SAS Computer program).

$$Q = -17.56 + 8.88V - 0.522V^2$$

*(R$^2$ = 0.98)*

**Where:**

- $Q$: Discharge rate (L/min)
- $V$: Wind-speed (m/s)

This equation covers the range of wind-speed from 2.5 to 8 m/s at pumping lift 9.2 m.

The negative sign is due to the starting wind-speed of the windmill is greater than Zero m/s. However this equation is of the second degree since aerodynamic losses increase with wind-speed.

**3- Overall power coefficient of windmill:**

Overall power coefficient ($C_{p0}$) is determined by dividing hydraulic power by wind-power. As seen in Fig. (5), the overall power coefficient ($C_{p0}$) was 0.062 at wind-speed 2.5 m/s, 0.073 at wind-speed 3 m/s and 0.02 at wind-speed 8 m/s. The highest value ($C_{p0}$) occurred at 3 m/s which is in agreement with Gourieres (1982) where $\lambda = 0.97$.

**4- Volumetric efficiency of pump:**

Results of volumetric efficiency ($\eta_v$) versus rotor speed are given in Fig (6). The volumetric efficiencies ($\eta_v$) were 0.85, 0.91 and 0.94 at rotor speed 23.0, 77.8 and 109.2 r.p.m. respectively. The increase of volumetric efficiency with rotor speed within the low speed range use (max 111 r. p.m.) can be explained to be due to the decreasing leakage around the piston as indicated by sumulders and Jonhg (1994).

**B- Windmill performance after three modifications**

**1- First modification (Reducing the delivery pipe diameter):**

In this modification, reducing the delivery pipe diameter form 1.5 inch to 1 inch was expected to reduce the starting wind-speed according to the analytical study which that the water column (a resisting force to starting) was reduced by 60%. But also, results showed that practically,
Fig. 3 Rotational speed of windmill rotor versus wind speed at pumping lift 9.2 m

Fig. 4 Discharge rate of windmill versus wind speed at pumping lift 0.2 m

Fig. 5 Overall power coefficient of windmill versus wind speed

Fig. 6 Volumetric efficiency of windmill pump
the starting wind-speed was 3 m/s as compared to 2.8 m/s before modification about 7% increasing. Thus, one can conclude that, the first modification is not a practical one. It is believed that the gain due to reducing water column is offset by the increased friction between the lift rod and the new smaller delivery pipe, the lift rod was harder to pull manually and made much more noise hiliting the inside diameter during its reciprocating motion.

As to the discharge rate, there was no significant change as shown by the performance curves in Fig (7) and its equation as follows:

\[ Q = -16.19 + 8.04 V - 0.44 V^2 \quad (R^2 = 0.99 \text{ With pipe diameter 1 inch}) \]

The overall power coefficient (C_{p_0}) also did not change much as shown in Fig (8). The maximum power coefficient was obtained at wind - speed 3 m/s as that before modification.

2- Second modification (Using counter weight device):

Use of counter weight device of 25Kg to decrease the resisting Upward force starting the motion of the rotor gave positive results as shown in table (1).

The discharge - wind speed curve was generally not affected as expected, it almost coincided with the discharge curve before modification as shown in Fig. (9). As to the starting wind-speed, it became 1.98 m/s as compared to 2.8 m/s before modification. The reduction obtained in starting wind-speed was about 30%. This is in agreement with (Kentfield, 1988) who reported in his analytical study a decrease in starting wind - speed approximately 50% is to be expected.

On the other hand, the use of counter weight, as expected did not give significant change in the discharge variation with wind-speed.

\[ Q = -16.88 + 8.73 V - 0.518 V^2 \quad (R^2 = 0.97 \text{ With counter weight 25 kg.}) \]

The overall power coefficient (C_{p_0}) with second modification was very much similar to that before modification as shown in Fig. (10).

However, the effect of using counter weight device on the annual operating hours of the windmill, it is clear at the annual duration curve of Bourg El-Arab i.e. the annual operating hours of the windmill can be increased approximately from 7277 to 7892 hr/year (the increasing percent about 8.4%).
Fig. 7 Discharge rate of windmill versus wind speed at pumping lift 0.2 m.

Fig. 8 Overall power coefficient of windmill versus wind speed.

Fig. 9 Windmill discharge rate versus wind speed at pumping lift 0.2 m.

Fig. 10 Overall power coefficient of windmill versus wind speed.
3- Third modification (using two pumps mechanism):
The use of a second pump operating is parallel with the original pump was made in order to increase the discharge rate and also to reduce the resisting starting load on the rotor, thus reducing the required starting wind-speed will be give more annual operating hours for windmill. In this case, the second lift rod for second pump positioned in place of the counter weight. In addition, the second pump had the same design and dimensions as the first (original) pump. Theoretically the wind-speed should be increased and the starting wind-speed should also be reduced. Results of third modification is show in Fig. (11). The starting wind-speed was 2.25 m/s as compared to 2.8 m/s before modification i.e. about 20% reduction.

![Diagram](image.png)

Fig.(11): Determination the annual operating hours of the windmill from the annual duration of wind-speed at Bourg El- Arab

The discharge rate increased significantly with wind-speed. It increased from 3.8 L/min to 5.01 L/min at wind-speed 3 m/s and from 20.18 L/min to 34.47 L/min at wind-speed 8 m/s. Thus at low wind-speed about 3 m/s the increase of discharge was about 30% and at high wind-speed 8 m/s was about 70%. This can be explained to be due to taking advantage of the extra power available from the rotor. The effect of two
pumps mechanism on the discharge rate is shown also in the following equation:

\[ Q = - 29.66 + 14.08 V - 0.73V^2 \quad (R^2 = 0.98 \text{ With two pumps mechanism}) \]

The effect of two pumps mechanism on the annual operating hours is shown in Fig. (11). The annual operating hours of windmill at Bourg El-Arab can be increased from 727 hr/year to 7895 hr/year i.e. the increase percent will be 5.6%.

**CONCLUSION**

1- The replacing of 1 inch diameter delivery pipe instead of standard one (1.5 inch) for the first modification was to be not practical, where it gave negative results on the both the starting wind-speed and the performance of the windmill.

2- The use of counter weight device with windmill for the second modification had reduced the starting wind-speed by about 30% without change in performance of the windmill.

3- The use of two pumps mechanism with windmill for third modification reduced the starting wind-speed by about 20% and increased the discharge rate by about 30% at wind-speed 3 m/s and by about 70% at high wind-speed 8 m/s.

4- It is clear that third modification is one of the best solutions for increasing capacity of ground water lifting windmills which are used in the North West Coast especially and low wind-speed regions generally.
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الملخص العربي
تحسين وتقييم أداء طاحونة هواء مصنعة محلياً لرفع المياه

* A. D. على يسر كريم ** A. D. مصطفى محمد أبو الخير م. سعد فتح الله أحمد

م. فرحات دياب

تعتبر طاقة الرياح أحد مصادر الطاقة الجديدة والمتجددة بجمهورية مصر العربية ومستخدم في الأغراض الزراعية لرفع المياه الجوفية من الأعماق القريبة لرى المساحات الصغيرة بمنطقة الساحل الشمالي غرب الإسكندرية من خلال طواحين الهواء حيث لايزال مستخدم منها المزارعين نظراً لتفضيل العديد من المزارعين استخدام تلك الوسيلة لرفع المياه طبقاً للمسح الميداني لمانة طاحونة هواء تلك المنطقة.

أ.ستاذ بقسم الهندسة الزراعية، كلية الزراعة- جامعة الإسكندرية

** مهندس زراعى، بكالوريوس عام 1990
وقد أظهرت نتائج المسح الميداني أن المشكلة الرئيسية التى تواجه تلك الطواحين ت浓缩 في
قلة التصرف اليومي للمياه وخصوصاً عند سرعات الرياح المنخفضة مع ضرورة إمكانية
تشغيل تلك الطواحين عند سرعات الرياح المنخفضة بسهولة، ولذا تضمنت الدراسة البحثية
ثلاث تحسينات لتطوير أداء طاحونة هواء حيث أجريت التجارب على طاحونة هواء ذات طلمبة
مرفدة مصنعة محلياً ومستخدمة بمزرعة خاصة بمنطقة سيدى كرير- غرب الإسكندرية وقد
تضمن البحث تقديم أداء تلك الطاحونة قبل أي تعديل مع إدخال ثلاث تعدلات منفصلة لتطوير
وتحسين أدائها في مدى سرعات الرياح المنخفضة (أقل من 3,5 متر/ث) حيث قبضت سرعة
الرياح باستخدام جهاز قياس سرعة الرياح عند نفس ارتفاع محور دوران الطاحونة وكانت
التعديلات الثلاثة المرتبطة بالتحليلات الرياضية على النحو التالي:

التعديل الأول:
خفض قطر ماسورة طرد المياه من 1.5 باصة إلى 1.0 باصة لتقليل وزن
عمود المياه أثناء مشوار السحب للطلمبة المفردة عليه.

التعديل الثاني:
استخدام الوزن المعادل لوزن كل من ماسورة المياه وأجزاء من الطلمبة (مكبس وذراع توصيل) لنفس مشوار السحب.

التعديل الثالث:
استخدام طلمبتين بدلاً من طلمبة واحدة لزيادة التصرف حيث تقوم إحدى
الطلبتين بأداء الوزن المعادل أثناء مشوار السحب.

وقد أوضحت النتائج الآتي:
1- متوسط سرعة الهواء لبدء الحركة لمحور دوران طاحونة الهواء قبل التعديل 2.8
متر/ث - بعد التعديل الأول 3.0 متر/ث - بعد التعديل الثاني 1.98 متر/ث.

2- معدلات تصرف المياه لطاحونة الهواء التعديل الأول والثاني لم يضيفا تحسين في
الحصر التصرف بالمقارنة بتصرف المياه قبل التعديل أما التعديل الثالث أعطى زيادة
في التصرف حوالي 30% في مدى سرعة الرياح المنخفضة 3 - 4 متر/ث،
بحوالى 70% في مدى سرعة الرياح المرتفعة نسباً 7 - 8 متر/ث.

وبناء على ذلك فإن التعديل الأول أعطي نتائج سلبية لبدء سرعة تشغيل الطاحونة نظرا
لزيادة قوى الاكتناك نتيجة لتصادم بين وصلات الكابل المرفعة مع ذراع السحب والسطح
الداخلي لطانية الطرد للمياه لتقليص قطرا من قطر (300 باصة) بدلاً من قطر
(411 باصة).

أما التعديل الثاني أعطي أفضل النتائج لتقليص سرعة بدء حركة طاحونة الهواء حيث أنخفضت
بحوالى 30% أما التعديل الثالث أعطي نتائج إيجابية من حيث خفض من سرعة بدء الحركة
لطاحونة الهواء بنسبة 20% مع زيادة في تصرف المياه كما سبق ذكر ذلك.

ومن هذا المنطق فإن الرؤية البحثية لهذا البحث أُعطت بداية علمية راسخة للعمل البحثي
لتطوير وتحسين أداء طواحين الهواء المستخدمة حالياً بالساحل الشمالي وخصوصاً التي تعمل
على سرعات رياح منخفضة.

Misr J. Ag. Eng., July 2008
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