REVIEW OF SOME PARAMETERS RELATED TO THE BASE-CUTTER OF SUGARCANE HARVESTERS
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ABSTRACT

Sugarcane represents the main cash crop in Upper Egypt. Area cultivated with sugarcane over 300,000 feds with average production 48 t/fed and total production may reach 16 million tons. The cost of labor has been increasing where the price is uncompetitive with the cost of mechanical harvesting with imported machines. Egypt needs to change its sugarcane harvesting methods from manual harvesting to mechanization to match the development occurred in similar countries. To mechanize sugarcane harvesting. Local cheap harvester should be manufactured considering our particular conditions. The study aimed to review and conclude some parameters the base cutter of a sugarcane harvester. The parameters, many include Physical and mechanical properties of sugar cane, cutting methods and types of knife edges, blade angles for cutting blades, cutting velocity (rotational speed) and forward velocity. Several researchers have been reviewing and reporting these parameters from variable point of views and variable objectives.

INTRODUCTION

The parameters related to the base cutter of a sugarcane harvester:

1. Physical and mechanical properties of sugar cane:
   Bosoi et al. (1996) reported that the sugarcane cutting force depends on the physical and mechanical properties of the sugarcane stalk and the thickness of the cutting blade. El-Nakib et al. (1996) performed tests on the Egyptian sugar cane variety C9 and they found that the average diameter of the stalk was 2.3 cm, and the cane stalk hardness was 775 N. Drees, (2005) mentioned that the cutting force changed from 1272 N to 1140 N through the stalk bottom, at stalk middle decreased from 1116 to 936 N and at the top of stalk cutting force declined down to reach 768 N. The maximum diameters of the cane stalks during harvest were 2.1 cm and 3.05 cm respectively at bottom and top. Taghinezhad et al. (2012) found that the maximum force increased from 313.75 to 592.74 N when the stalk diameters increased between 1.715-1.764 to 2.547-2.729 cm at internode and it also increased from 350.30 to 811.97 N when the stalk diameters increased between 17.23-17.88 to 24.49-25.35 mm at node. Samaila et al. (2012) reported that 401.5 N and 1310.35 N were needed for cutting the top and base of the
sugar cane, respectively. Bastian and Shridar, (2014) stated that the maximum diameters of the cane stalks during harvest were 4 and 3.5 cm respectively at bottom and top based on field observations and the maximum force required for cutting a single cane at the bottom was 2698 N at the node and 2216 N at the internode. Abdel-mawla et al. (2014) make an experiment and they found that the average minimum and maximum measurements during five years as follow: Stalk diameter (2.2 - 2.7 cm), Stalk weight (0.79 - 0.86 kg), stalk hardness (325 - 607 N), Compression strength (4180 - 4340 N) and cutting force (840 - 886 N). Sureshkumar and Jesudas, (2015) observed that the cutting force for cutting a single cane stalk varied between 471 and 872 N and the maximum lifting force measured between 105 and 300 N and the maximum lifting moment measured between 30.62 to 129.11 N.m.

2. Cutting methods and types of knife edges:
Patil and Patil, (2013) reported that the designing of the harvester cutting systems are based on two main systems: cutter bar cutting system and rotating cutting system. Rotating cutting system with blades is used more often than a cutter bar cutting system for thick stalks (such as sugar cane stalks) that have more cutting resistance. Quick, (1997) stated that the base cutter of sugar cane harvesters in use today usually consists of one or two contra-rotating discs Fig. 1 with multiple blades installed on their periphery.

Figure 1 Base cutting unit of a John Deere 3522 sugar cane harvester. (Quick, 1997)

Frazzetta, (1988) recommended that the shape of the cutting blade is an important factor affecting the amount of cutting force and power requirement. In the cutting process of a substrate by smooth-edged blades, the ability of a blade for cutting is determined by the concentration of the cutting force onto a very small cross-section area, as shown in Fig. 2.

Figure 2 (a) Cutting by the smooth-edged blade; (b) cutting by the serrated blade. (Frazzetta, 1988)
Mello and Harris, (2003) make a lab-based sugarcane cutting trial, which included two experimental factors; the length of the serration pitch and the knife shape (forward or backward-curved), see Fig. 3; and they carried out a two-factorial experiment study to examine two knife shapes (forward and backward curved) of serrated-edge blades with different pitches (3 and 7 mm) examining cutting energy spent for cane cutting. They concluded that the forward blade with a 3 mm pitch has the best result and higher energy efficiency among the experiment because more small projections make the penetration easier and cutting more effective.

Figure 3 (c) Backward curved serrated-edge blade, (d) forward curved serrated-edge blade. (Mello and Harris, 2003)

Mello, (2005) observed that the serrated-edge blade with serration pitch a 3 mm presented the lowest cutting power, but significant difference from the smooth-edge blade form, for both 450 and 600 r/min rotational velocity of the tangential speed of the cutting blades. Liu et al. (2012) found that serrated-edge blades require less cutting power and force than smooth-edged blades, and suggest that both blades may yield the desired cut quality. Mello and Harris, (2000) suggested that for minimizing the impact effect related material losses we can use a pure slicing cut action this action did not cut the sugarcane stalks but pushed it to one side; Langton and Paterson, (2004) stated that the counter shear was positioned above the blade and rotated in the opposite direction of the cutting knife. The collecting edge pulled the sugarcane stalks in toward the cutting knife where it was cut. The using of a counter shear reduced the cutting speeds and the counter shear fingers create the necessary reaction forces.

3. Blade edge sharpening angles:
Das and Gupta, (1972) observed that many parameters are affecting cutting resistance and cutting energy such as the edge or sharpness angle, the oblique angle (β), the tilt angle (α) see Fig. 4. They concluded that the cutting force and power were minima at an edge angle of about 25°, a tilt angle of about 20° and decreased with increasing values of the oblique angle.

Figure 4 Schematic diagram of a cutting blade design showing the blade oblique angle (β) and tilt angles (α). (Quick, 1997)
Gupta and Oduori, (1992) recommended that the suitable blade angles as follow: oblique angle ranged between 20 and 50° and tilt angle ranged between 25 and 50°; They also recommended that the optimum oblique angle and tilt angle were set to 35° and 27° respectively. Johnson et al. (2012) carried out cutting tests and concluded that the minimum cutting energy for cutting sugarcane stalks occurred with oblique angles was 60°. Sureshkumar and Jesudas, (2015) reported that the cutting power was increased linearly when the oblique angle was increased from 0 to 35° and was lowest at a tilt angle and oblique angle of 20 and 30° respectively.

4. Cutting velocity (rational speed of the base cutter disc) and forward velocity:
Gupta and Oduori, (1992) reported that the optimum linear speed of the base cutter ranged from 13.8 to 18.4 m/s and the maximum power consumption will occur when the speed of the cutting knife is more than 19.4 m/s, and they investigated that the cutting knife rotational speed should lie between 600 and 1000 r/min. Liu et al. (2007) concluded that the minimum blade velocity requirement for good cutting was 22 m/s. Patil and Patil, (2013) conducted tests on the developed sugarcane cutting system and they found that the base cutter linear speed of 27 m/s. Mathanker et al. (2015) showed that cutting power increases with increasing the cutting speed. The lowest average cutting power was at a 60° oblique and an average cutting speed of 7.9 m/s.

CONCLUSION
Through an in-depth study of the research that deals with studying the various factors that influence the design of sugar cane harvesting machines, it can be concluded that:
❖ The maximum cutting force for global sugarcane variety increased from 1272 to 2698 N, maximum lifting force measured between 105 and 300 N and the maximum lifting moment measured between 30.62 to 129.11 N.m.
❖ The maximum cutting force for Egyptian sugarcane variety C9 increased from 840 to 886 N when the stalk diameters increased between 2.2 to 2.7 cm at stalk; maximum lifting force measured between 105 and 300 N and the maximum lifting moment measured between 30.62 to 129.11 N.m, stalk hardness(325-607 N), Compression strength (4180-4340 N).
❖ Rotating cutting system with blades is appropriate cutting methods for cutting sugar cane stalk.
❖ The serrated-edge blades had better cutting quality, cutting force required and cutting power than smooth blades, but the material loss was greater.
❖ The forward blade has the best result and higher energy efficiency.
❖ The suitable blade angles as follow: oblique angle ranged between 20 and 50°, tilt angle ranged between 25 and 50°, with edge (sharpness) angle 25°; noting that the cutting power will increase linearly when the oblique angle increasing.
❖ The linear speed of the base cutter ranged from 7.9 to 27 m/s, and forward speed of the sugarcane harvester for low and high fiber sugarcane varieties should be 14 m/s and 17.
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الملخص العربي
أجري هذا البحث بهدف عمل دراسة مرجعية للتعرف على العوامل المختلفة التي تؤثر على تصميم وتشغيل آلة حصاد قصب السكر. ومن خلال دراسة معمقة للبحث، يمكن الاستنتاج أن:

1- تتراوح قوي القص اللازمة لحصاد قصب السكر المصري صنف C9 من 840 إلى 886 نيوتن عند أقطار القصبة تراوح بين 2.2 إلى 2.7 سم عند العقلة، تم قياس القوي اللازمة لرفع العيدان المائلة حيث تراوحت بين 105 و300 نيوتن والحد الأقصي لعظم الرفع المقص بين 129.11 إلى 119.11 نيوتون، وصلابة القصبة (325-607 نيوتن)، وقوة الضغط (4180-4340 نيوتن).

2- يعتبر نظام القطع الدوار مع الشفرات من أفضل الطرق لقطع ساق قصب السكر.

3- تميزت السكاكين ذات الحافة المسننة بجودة قطع أفضل وأقل قوة قطع مطلوبة لكن لها نسبة فاقد أعلى.

4- زوايا السكاكين المناسبة كما يلي: oblique angle تتراوح بين 20 و 50 درجة، tilt angle تتراوح بين 25 و 50 درجة، وsharpness angle تتراوح بين 45 و 75 درجة.

5- تراوحت السرعة الحقيقية اللازمة لقطع من 7.9 إلى 27 م/ث، ويجب أن تكون السرعة الأمامية لحصاد قصب السكر لأصناف قصب السكر المنخفضة والعلية الألياف 14 م/ث و17 م/ث.
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