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ABSTRACT
A thin layer flow of brine solar distiller model with an inclined movable black solar steel collector was designed and constructed to desalinate sea-water. The range of distiller productivity was about 5 to 8 L/m².day, at the operation conditions of (solar radiation of 552.1 to 591.2 W/m², ambient temperature of 25 to 31.8 °C, temperature difference between the solar collector & glass cover of 39 to 52 °C, brine flow rate of 4 to 10 L/hr and finally, solar collector angle of 15° to 45° to the horizontal). Step-wise regression analysis was applied to get the best set of the statistical model on distilled water productivity. The determination of correlation of the final model was 0.97. Also, the operational efficiency was calculated, which ranged from 53 to 63%.

INTRODUCTION
It has already been established that, a reduction in the depth of brine in the basin of the roof-type solar distiller improves the distilled water productivity. This conclusion led Frick & Sommerfeld (1973) to design a wick-type collector-evaporator distiller. The advantage of the wick is to keep the basin as shallow as possible while avoiding dry spots. The results of Frick & Sommerfeld for a distiller of this type using a plastic cover, located at Valparaiso, Chile showed a production rate of 3.8 to 4.4 L/m².day, with an operational efficiency of about 40 to 46%. An improved design for the wick-type collector-evaporator distiller was carried out by Moustafa et al. (1979). The results of his design showed a maximum productivity of 6.5 L/m².day, with an operational efficiency of about 58%.
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Numerous publications of basin type solar distiller were found in the literatures e.g. (Ragab & Zine El-Abedin, 2006; Ragab, 2006; Ragab & Abou-Karima, 2005; Ragab, 2005; Minasian et al., 1992; Kudish, 1991; Kudish & Gale, 1986; Richared et al., 1984; Malik et al., 1982; Kudish et al., 1982; and Delyannis & Delyannis, 1980).

Ernani, (1996) studied a solar still versus solar evaporator (a comparative study between their thermal behavior). He concluded that, the distillation rate increases with increasing water temperature and temperature differences. Harpreet, (1996) simulated a computer program of a solar distiller with enlarged evaporation area, in order to explore the quantitative relationship between evaporation area and distillation yield. Shukla & Sorayan, (2005) derived a mathematical expiration for water and glass temperatures, yield and efficiency for a single and double slopes multi-wick solar distiller in the steady conditions.

This research was planned to study the performance of a wick-type solar distiller using a glass cover, and evaluating its operational efficiency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1- The experimental setup :-

The experiments were carried out using a sloped solar distiller model with a movable black solar steel collector (a thin layer of brine with 5mm depth at maximum passes on its surface area), which illustrated in Fig.(1). It consisted of a wooden box of 1.18 x 1.08 x 0.75 m and 0.02 m thickness. A steel box of 1.1 x 1.0 x 0.75 m and 0.5 mm thickness put inside the wooden box. The fibber isolation of 0.02 m put between the steel box and the wooden box. A glass cover of 3 mm thickness fixed over the wooden box. A black steel solar collector with surface area of 1m² and 3 mm thickness hinged under the lower side of the glass cover. The other side of the solar collector was movable for collector angle controlling. This side had a U channel for brine storing and flowing to passes above the solar collector surface area. An isolation sheet of 0.02 m thickness was fixed under the steel solar collector to prevent heat lose through its thickness. A steel channel was fitted under the lower side of the glass cover to collect the condensed water, which collected into
external vessel. Another steel channel was fitted under the hinged solar collector side to collect the exceed brine flow. The wick-type model was inclined at angle of 45° to horizontal. An external plastic tank of (150 Liters capacity) was put above the distiller model level to supply the wick model by brine water through a plastic pipe. A regulator valve was put at output line of the plastic tank for brine flow rates controlling. All openings in the sides of the distiller model and all joints in glass cover and channels were well sealed with silicon rubber sealant to prevent water vapor leakage. The axis of the wick-type solar distiller model was oriented to face an east-west direction and the sloped side of the distiller was oriented south.

Fig(1): schematic drawing of a solar distiller model of a thin layer flow of brine

Global solar radiation (I, W/m²), and ambient air temperatures (T_a, °C) were recorded. The surface area of the solar collector was 1 m². The value of distilled water (D_w, L/m²) was measured daily, after sunset.
Copper constantan thermocouples connected to the system for measuring the collector temperature \( (T_c, ^\circ C) \), and temperature of the inner side of the glass cover, \( (T_g, ^\circ C) \). A digital thermometer VE310 was used for recording temperatures needed, which has the accuracy of 0.1 \(^\circ C\).

The experimental work was carried out at a location in the North Coast at km 20 of Alexandria-Matrouh road, which is situated about 1.2 km from the Mediterranean Sea. A salty seawater sample was taken to measure the salinity value and to determine its chemical analysis. The electrical conductivity of the salty water sample was 53.4mmoh/cm (53.4 ds/m) at 25 \(^\circ C\). Table (1) shows the chemical analysis of the seawater sample. This analysis was conducted at a laboratory of Soil and Water Dept., Fac., Agric., Fayoum Univirsity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anions, (meq/l)</th>
<th>Cations, (meq/l)</th>
<th>Ec mmoh/cm</th>
<th>PH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{SO}_4 )</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>( \text{CL} )</td>
<td>258.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Operational efficiency of the wick-type solar distiller model:

The operational efficiency of a roof solar distiller was driven by Moustafa et al. (1994), and Fernandez & Chargoy (1990). The final mathematical form are:

\[
\eta = \frac{q_e}{q_e + q_c + q_r} \tag{1}
\]

\[
q_e = 0.0061 \{(T_c-T_g) + [(P_c-P_g)/(0.265-P_c)].T_c\}^{1/3}.(P_c-P_g).L_c \ldots \tag{2}
\]

\[
q_c = 0.8831 \{(T_c-T_g) + [(P_c-P_g)/(0.265-P_c)].T_c\}^{1/3}.(T_c-T_g) \ldots \tag{3}
\]

\[
q_e = f_{cg}.\sigma.(T_c^4-T_g^4) \ldots \tag{4}
\]

where:

\( \eta \) is the distiller operation efficiency in, \( \% \),
\( q_e \) is the rate of heat flux transferred by evaporation between water surface and distiller cover in, W/m\(^2\),
\( q_r \) is the rate of heat flux transferred by radiation between water surface and distiller cover in, W/m\(^2\),
\( q_c \) is the rate of heat flux transferred by convection between water surface and distiller cover in, W/m\(^2\),
\( T_c \) is the collector surface temperature in, \( ^\circ C \),
Tg is the glass cover temperature inside the distiller in °C,
Pc is the saturation pressure of water at Tc in, Mpa,
\[ P_c = \exp\{25.317-(5144/T_c)\} \].................................(5)
Pg is the saturation pressure of water at Tg in Mpa,
\[ P_g = \exp\{25.317-(5144/T_g)\} \].................................(6)
Lc is the inner latent heat of brine at Tc in, J/kg,
\[ L_c = (2501.67-2.389 \times 10^3 \times T_c) \].................................(7)
\( \sigma \) is the Stefan-Boltzman constant = 56.7x10⁻⁹ W/m².k⁴
\( f_{cg} \) is the shape factor of diffuse radiation between collector and cover, dimensionless,
\[ f_{cg} = 1/(1/\varepsilon_c + 1/\varepsilon_g - 1) \].................................(8)
\( \varepsilon_c \) is the collector emissivity (infrared), \( \varepsilon_g \) is the glass emissivity (infrared), \( \varepsilon_c = 0.88 \) and \( \varepsilon_g = 0.9 \) (Clark, 1990).

Note: Brine temperature over the solar collector, Tw is approximately equal Tc, where, the brine depth is very thin.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Experimental work was carried out through August, September, October and November. The averages of metrological data were as following; solar radiation intensity ranged from 552.1 to 590 W/m²; ambient air temperature ranged from 25 to 29.8 °C; wind speed ranged from 4.7 to 15 km/hr and the relative humidity was about 64%.

1- **Effect of solar radiation intensity on distilled water productivity:**
The most important parameter affecting the performance of a solar distiller is the solar radiation intensity. The distilled water productivity increases as solar radiation intensity increases and vice versa, as indicated at Fig. (2).
An equation was developed by Excel-2003 soft-ware, which has a formula of;
\[ D_w = 6 \times 10^{15} I^{5.4596} \] \[ R^2 = 0.90 \].................................(9)
Where, \( D_w \) is the distilled water productivity in, L/m².day, and I is the solar radiation intensity in W/m².
2- Effect of brine flow rates and solar collector angles on both of temperatures difference between solar collector & glass cover and solar distiller efficiency:

Figs.(3a, b, c & d) show the effect of brine flow rates on temperatures difference between solar collector and glass cover and on a flow of a thin layer of brine solar distiller efficiency at different levels of solar collector angles. In general, there is an increasing in solar distiller efficiency with decreasing of brine flow rates. Also, there is a decreasing of temperatures difference between solar collector and glass cover, with increasing of brine flow rates, and vice versa. The best results are noticed at solar collector angle(θ) of 25°, where the temperature difference (ΔT) ranged from 45 °C to 52 °C and the distiller efficiency (η) ranged from 59% to 63% as brine flow rate (F) ranges decreased from 10 L/hr to 4 L/hr.
Fig. (3): Effect of collector angle & brine flow rate on both of temperatures difference between the solar collector & the glass cover and distiller model efficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brine flow rate (F), L/hr.</th>
<th>Temperatures difference between solar collector &amp; glass cover, $\Delta T$, C.</th>
<th>Distiller efficiency, $\eta$.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\theta = 15^\circ$</td>
<td>$\theta = 25^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\theta = 35^\circ$</td>
<td>$\theta = 45^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.602</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. (4) shows the effect of solar collector angles on distillated water output at different levels of brine flow rates. It is indicated that, the collector angle of $25^\circ$ is the optimum degree, where, it has a maximum productivity of distillated water. Also, the minimum productivity is given by $45^\circ$ of collector angle and 10 L/hr of brine flow rate. Fig. (4) shows that, the productivity of distillated water increases as brine flow rates decrease.

3- Effect of temperatures difference between solar collector & glass cover on both of a solar distiller productivity and efficiency:

As noticed at Fig.(4), that both of distiller productivity and efficiency increase with temperatures difference between solar collector and glass cover increases.

The following equations were resulted by Excel-2003 soft-ware for estimating the distiller productivity ($D_w$) and distiller efficiency ($\eta$).

$$D_w = 0.0066 \Delta T^{1.8117}$$
$$\eta = 0.0591 \Delta T^{0.6032}$$

$R^2 = 0.92$ ............(10)
$R^2 = 0.94$ ............(11)
Fig. (4): Effect of solar collector angle on distilled water at different brine flow rates.

Where, $\Delta T$ is the temperatures difference between solar collector and glass cover in, $^\circ$C, and $\eta$ is the solar distiller efficiency in, %.

4- Effect of temperatures difference between solar collector and glass cover on saturation pressure difference between brine & glass cover and distilled water:

It is known that, the more the difference between saturation pressure of water at solar collector ($P_c$) and saturation pressure of water at glass cover ($P_g$) the greater the vapor condensed and the higher the water evaporate and escape from water surface to face the cold glass cover and condensed on it. This was the direct reason in increasing the distillation rates. Fig. (5) shows that, pressures difference increases as temperature difference between brine and glass cover increases. Also, It is clear that,
as saturation pressure difference between the solar collector and the glass cover increases, the distillated water productivity increases. Excel-2003 soft-ware was used to develop the best fit of data. An exponential equation was resulted as following:

$$\Delta P = 0.2719 \Delta T^{1.3435} \quad R^2 = 0.82 \ldots \ldots \ldots (12)$$

Where, $\Delta P$ is the saturation pressure difference between the solar collector ($P_c$) and the glass cover ($P_g$) in MPa.
Fig. (5): Effect of temperatures difference between solar collector & glass cover on both of distillated water and saturation pressure difference between brine water & glass cover.

5- Effect of temperatures difference between solar collector and glass cover on rate of heat flux transferred by evaporation and distillated water:

Fig. (6) shows that, as temperatures difference increases the rate of heat flux transferred by evaporation increases. So that, increasing of the rate of heat flux transferred by evaporation cased to increase the distillated water as, shown in Fig. (6). An exponential equation was resulted by Excel-2003 statistical software as follows:

\[ q_e = 12.57\Delta T^{1.4331} \quad R^2 = 0.81 \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots (12) \]

where, \( q_e \) is the rate of heat flux transferred by evaporation between the brine surface and the glass cover in, W/m\(^2\).
5- The statistical analysis evaluation:

The forward step-wise regression analysis was applied to arrive at a reasonably good best set of independent variables (solar radiation intensity ($I$, W/m²), Ambient air temperature ($T_a$, °C), temperatures difference between the solar collector and the glass cover ($\Delta T$, °C), brine flow rates ($F$, L/hr) and solar collector angle ($\theta$, degree), relating to distilled water productivity ($D_w$, L/m².day). The suggested statistical model was in form of:

$$D_w \propto (I, \Delta T, T_a, \theta, F)$$

The final statistical model form is:

$$D_w = -8.971 + 0.1687\Delta T - 0.07F + 0.0147I \quad R^2=0.97 \ldots (13)$$

Fig.(6): Effect of temperatures difference between solar collector & glass cover on both of distillated water and the rate of heat flux transferred by evaporation.
The forward step-wise technique indicated that, $\Delta T$ is the important parameter affecting on $D_w$, see Table (2). The resulted statistical model has shown to be significant at 0.001 level. Fig.(6) shows the graph of 45° for predicted and observed data of the distillated water productivity. the correlation coefficient $R^2 = 0.97$.

Table (2): Summary of forward selection procedure for dependent variable $D_w$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Variable Entered</th>
<th>Number In</th>
<th>Partial $R^2$</th>
<th>Model $R^2$</th>
<th>Prob&gt;F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\Delta T$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9004</td>
<td>0.9004</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0437</td>
<td>0.9441</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0265</td>
<td>0.9706</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$T_a$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>0.9729</td>
<td>0.1656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.9733</td>
<td>0.2354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION

1- The parameters of a solar distiller model of a thin layer flow of brine caused increasing the distillated water from 5 to 8 L/m$^2$.day, and increasing the distiller efficiency from 53% to 63%.
2- There is no direct effect of solar collector angle on productivity water,
but there is indirect effect. While, the solar collector angle effect on the temperatures difference between the collector and the glass cover by changing the space between the solar collector and the glass cover, e.g, 45° of solar collector angle caused an increasing of Tg, so, ΔT and Dw decreased. On the other hand, a solar collector angle of 15° to horizontal caused decreasing of Tc, so ΔT and Dw decreased.

3- there is an interaction between the collector angles and brine flow rates, where, the collector angle effect on brine velocity and brine temperature.
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الملخص العربي

أداء مقطر شمسي ذو سريان لطبقة رقيقة من المياه المالحة

رجب إسماعيل أحمد مراد حمدي السيد سالم عبد الجليل

أجريت هذه الدراسة بغرض تقييم أداء مقطر للمياه المالحة ، يستمد طاقته مباشرة من الأشعة الشمسية الساقطة علي مجمعه الشمسي من خلال غلاف من الزجاج الشفاف، علي أن يكون هناك تيار مستمر من المياه المالحة ذو عمق صغير لا يتجاوز الـ 5 مم ينساب علي سطح المجمع الشمسي والتحكم في زاوية ميله علي المستوى الأفقي. تمت دراسة تأثير كل من (شدة الأشعة الشمسية، فرق درجات الحرارة بين المجمع الشمسي وغلاف المقطر الزجاجي ، معدلات سريان المياه المالحة عبر المجمع الشمسي و أخيرا زاوية ميل المجمع الشمسي علي المستوى الأفقي) علي كمية المياه المقطرة باللتر لكل متر مربع خلال اليوم الواحد (من بداية الشروق وحتى غروب الشمس). لقد أوضحت المعاملات التجريبية النتائج التالية:

1- تراوحت إنتاجية المقطر من المياه من 5 إلي 8 لتر/م²/اليوم وذلك تحت ظروف التشغيل التالية (شدة أشعة الشمس ما بين 526.1 و 591.2 وات/م² ، درجة حرارة الهواء الجوالي ما بين 25 إلي 31.8 °C ، الفرق في درجات الحرارة بين المجمع الشمسي وغلاف المقطر ما بين 49 إلي 52 °C ، معدل سريان المياه المالحة عبر المجمع الشمسي ما بين 4 إلي 10 لتر/ساعة وأخيرا زاوية ميل المجمع الشمسي ما بين 15 إلي 45 °M).

2- أستاذ الهندسة الزراعية المساعد- كلية الزراعة- جامعة الفيوم.
2- أفضل النتائج كانت مع زاوية ميل المجمع الشمسى 25°م و معدل سريان 4 لتر/ساعة ، نظراً لوجود أعلى فرق بين درجتي حرارة كل من المجمع الشمسى و غلاف المقترب، بينما نكاد تتساوي نتائج كل من زاوية ميل المجمع الشمسى 45°م و 5°م.

3- تراوحت كفاءة تشغيل نموذج التقطير المقترح مابين 53 إلى 63%. وكانت أعلى كفاءة تم حسابها لظروف تشغيل المقترب مع زاوية الميل 29°م ومعدل سريان للمياه المالحة 4 لتر/ساعة.

4- زيادة معدل التقطير مع زيادة الفرق بين درجتي حرارة كل من المجمع الشمسى و غلاف المقترب.

5- زيادة معدل التقطير مع زيادة الفرق بين ضغط التشبع لبخار الماء عند كل من المجمع الشمسى و غلاف المقترب.

6- قمت مجموعاً العوامل المرتبطة بالنمودج والظروف المناخيه إحصائياً ، حيث أوضحت النتائج معنوية النموذج الإحصائي الناتج من استخدام التحليل الانحداري المتعدد وذلك عند مستوى معنوية قدره 0.001. 

7- اعتمد النموذج الإحصائي المتحصل عليه على كل من شدة الأشعة الشمسية (I) بالوات/م²، معدل سريان المياه المالحة التي تتساب على المجمع الشمسى (F) باللتر/ساعة و أخيراً الفرق بين درجات حرارة كل من المجمع الشمسى والغلاف الزجاجى (T) بدرجة المئوية.

\[ D_w = -8.971 + 0.01471 \times T - 0.1687 \times F - 0.077 \times I \]

بينما لم يكن لكل من درجة حرارة الهواء الجوى وزاوية ميل المجمع الشمسى أثرًا معنوى على كمية المياه المتحصل عليها من النموذج المقترح وتحت ظروف التجرب المنتزعة.