

## EQUILIBRIUM MOISTURE CONTENT FOR TWO PEANUT VARIETIES

Ghanem T.H.      M. El-Said Shetawy      S.H. Mohamed Desoky

### ABSTRACT

*Adsorption and desorption isotherms for two different varieties of peanuts, namely: Ismailia1 "Is1" and Giza5 "G5" were evaluated using the dynamic method of equilibrium moisture content "EMC". Three different temperatures of the circulated air "T": 30, 36 and 43 ° C; four different levels of relative humidity "RH" namely: 2.5, 32, 46 and 87% were studied. Non-linear regression analysis was made for evaluating the compatibility of the experimental data to Henderson's model.*

*Multiple regression analysis was also used to sensitize a generalized model and for determining the effect of peanut variety, adjacent air temperature and relative humidity on the EMC of peanut for both adsorption and desorption tests for the previously defined range of both T and RH. The main objective of the present study is to evaluate the best fit model for the equilibrium moisture content to be available in analytical study for drying, storage and practical design of drying systems of peanut.*

### INTRODUCTION

**P**eanut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) is one of the most important oil bearing seeds. In Egypt the cultivated area is about  $3.024 \times 10^6$  ha FAO (2008), that produced about  $15.12 \times 10^6$  tons. In the last few years there was a marked deterioration in quality of peanut grown in Egypt, due to high incidence of aflatoxin contamination and increased percentage of immature seeds.

The growth of mould on the peanut is the indirect result of too much moisture for unsafe storage. To control the fungal attack on the peanut it was recommended by Hummeida and Ismail (1989) that the moisture content of the peanuts should be maintained below 8% w.b. However, in storage the moisture content controlled by circulation of air and control

---

Associate Prof. , Agric. Eng. Dept. Faculty of Agric., Al-Azhar Univ.;

Lect. Agric. Eng. Dept. Faculty of Agric., Al-Azhar Univ.

of the relative humidity. Hall (1980) mentioned two different methods for determining the EMC:

1. Static method, in which atmosphere surrounding the product comes to equilibrium with the product without mechanical agitation of air or product.
2. The dynamic method, in which the atmosphere surrounding the product itself is mechanically moved.

He preferred the static method than the dynamic one. Although, the dynamic method is quicker but represents problems in design and instrumentations. Therefore, the static method has been used extensively. Several weeks may be required using static method, whereas, with dynamic method the data may be obtained in couple of days or less. He also stated that when using static method for determining the EMC, saturated salt solutions or acids may be used for maintaining the desired relative humidity at the temperature of storage.

Ghanem (1998) used a device especially constructed for EMC determination of the animal manure. The device consists of 4-units to have four different concentrations of the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> acid solution, i.e., four different relative humidities, each of them containing two flasks, air diaphragm pump, sample holder and a large container including the sample and the air pump i.e., (closed circulation of air).

Ghanem and El- Soaly (1999) modified the device used by Ghanem (1998) adding two flasks for acid solution, two empty flasks (condensers) and an air filter per each unit for eliminating any doubts that acid vapor could reach to the sample holder.

Tagwa et al. (1993) used the static method for the determination of the equilibrium moisture content of the buckwheat. The EMC of buckwheat in desorption was measured suspending 10 g of sample in 10 liter wide mouth bottle with saturated salt solution to maintain a constant humidity ( $\pm 0.04$  %) at constant temperature ( $\pm 1$  °C). They added that the sample was removed for weighing at intervals of two or three days. They also added that, the EMC reached when the sample mass did not change 0.01 mg (or less) in its weight over a period of two or three days.

Hummeida and Ismail (1989) determined the EMC of MH383 and Barberton varieties of peanut. They reported that the relative humidity has a pronounced effect on variability of EMC than the temperature.

Chen and Morey (1989) found that no universal equation could be established to fit all isotherms. The modified Henderson and Chung – Pfof equations are satisfactory models for most starchy grains and fibrous materials.

Chen (2000) reported that the modified Henderson and Chung- Pfof equations had fairly high values of the deviations and standard error ,thereby, making the equations inadequate.

The main objective of the present study is to evaluate the best fit model for the equilibrium moisture content to be available in analytical study for drying, storage and practical design for drying systems of peanut.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**


In this work, the device used by Ghanem (1998) and Ghanem and El-Soaly (1999) is modified by adding two condensers with gravel stones and two air fillets to each unit for eliminating any doubts that the acid vapor could not reach to the sample holder. Fig (1) shows the one unit of the experimental setup used for for controlling air temperature and relative humidity.

#### **Measuring instrumentations:**

- 1- Glass thermometer: for measuring the dry bulb temperatures.  
Source of manufacture: China, range:1-100 .
- 2- Thermocouples : Temperatures were measured using Type K thermocouples, the output device includes a large 4-digits temperature reading display and electronic circuitry, the specifications of thermocouples are :  
Manufacture : U.S.A ,  
Model : 8528-40  
Full accuracy : 18 – 28 °C ,  
Useful range : 4-45 °C.
- 3- Relative humidity: Is measured by hygrometers 1-100 % , made in Germany.
- 4- Electrical balance: made in Japan, Sartorius type , accuracy 0.0001 g.

5- The EMC for peanut pods (shells and kernels) is evaluated according to the ASAE standards (1994) i.e., oven dried at 130 °C for 6 hours.

Fig. (1) shows the one unit of the experimental setup used for for controlling air temperature and relative humidity.



- |                               |                                |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1- Diaphragm pump             | 6 - Hygrometer and thermometer |
| 2 - Diaphragm pump            | container                      |
| 3 - Acid solution flasks      | 7- Sample holder               |
| 4 - Flasks with gravel stones | 8 - Air heater and its shield  |
| "condensers"                  | 9 – Glass thermometer          |
| 5 - Air filters               | 10 – Contactor                 |
|                               | 11 - Insulation                |

**Fig. (1)** The experimental setup for controlling air temperature and relative humidity

## METHODS

To undergo the adsorption tests, peanuts were dried to low moisture content of 2% db. The pods were oven dried at 40 °C. Weight readings were taken at intervals of 24 h. It took about 4-5 days for the various samples of the two varieties to dry to 2% db. Six different experiments were carried out for each peanut variety to determine the adsorption and

desorption EMC at four different relative humidities and three different air temperatures.

Non-linear regression analysis was made for evaluating the compatibility of the experimental data to Henderson's model as reported by Hall (1980).

Multiple regression analysis was also used to sensitize a generalized model and for determining the effect of peanut variety, adjacent air temperature and relative humidity on the EMC of peanut for both adsorption and desorption tests.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

The measured data of the three peanut isotherms (30, 36 and 43 °C) for both adsorption and desorption EMC are presented on a plot for each variety tested. The effects of each of the temperature and relative humidity on the EMC was analyzed using regression analysis by Minitab 12 for statistical analysis.

**Effect of relative humidity:** In an effort to study the effect of the relative humidity on the equilibrium moisture content - at constant temperature- of the two varieties tested for both adsorption and desorption experiments, regression analysis was made and the following linear equation form was found to be satisfied:

$$EMC = a + m RH \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

Where "a" and "m" are constants whose values are depicted in Table (1). This form is valid for relative humidity range of 2.5 to 87% and temperature range of 30 to 43 °C. The relative humidity is expressed in decimal and the EMC is expressed in % dry basis.

The regression coefficients of those equations show that the EMC is expected to increase by 0.111 to 0.138 when the RH increased by 1%. Regressing the EMC data on the RH for each isotherm using exponential form , it was found that the best fit equations have coefficients of determination ranging between 0.93 to 0.99 which are more satisfactorily than that of linear equations.

Figs 2 to 5 show the experimental data for the adsorption and desorption isotherms of the two varieties tested.

The following equation form was found to be satisfied:

$$EMC = Ae^{\alpha RH} \dots\dots\dots (2)$$


Where “A” and “ $\alpha$ ” are constants as given in Figs. 2 to 5 .

**Effect of temperature :**


Figs. 2 to 5 show that isotherms of high temperatures lie underneath low ones. It can be generally stated that there is an inverse relationship between the EMC and the temperature of the circulated air. Table (1) shows also the regression coefficients and coefficients of determinations of the EMC and the air temperature at constant relative humidity of the air. The coefficients of determination were very low and ranged between 0.0509 to 0.522 .

Table (1) Regression coefficients and coefficients of determination for peanut experiments using linear form.


Effect of	Variety	Ads./Des.	a , a <sub>1</sub>	m , m <sub>1</sub>	d	R <sup>2</sup>
Relative humidity	IS1	Ads.	1.6840	0.111	-	0.8800
	IS1	Des.	2.7190	0.116	-	0.9080
	G5	Ads.	1.6200	0.114	-	0.8700
	G5	Des.	2.0200	0.138	-	0.9100
Temperature	IS1	Ads.	48.644	2.136	-	0.0840
	IS1	Des.	50.460	2.690	-	0.1591
	G5	Ads.	45.032	1.634	-	0.0509
	G5	Des.	20.085	3.240	-	0.5220
Relative humidity and temperature	IS1	Ads.	16.300	0.0630	0.4530	0.907
	IS1	Des.	19.700	0.0853	0.0577	0.875
	G5	Ads.	19.400	0.0853	0.0563	0.870
	G5	Des.	12.100	0.0857	0.0317	0.853




**Fig (2) Desorption isotherms for Is1 variety at three different temperatures.**



**Fig(3) Desorption isotherms for G5 variety at three different temperatures.**



**Fig (4) Adsorption isotherms for Is1 variety at three different temperatures .**



**Fig (5) Adsorption isotherms for G5 variety at three different temperatures**



### **Compatibility of the experimental data to Henderson's model**

Non-linear regression analysis was made for evaluating Henderson's equation (Hall 1980) parameters on adsorption and desorption experiments for both varieties tested. The Henderson's model is of the form :

$$1-RH = e^{-cT(EMC)^n} \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

For determining the constants "c" and "n", transformation was made and ln(EMC) was plotted against ln(ln(1-RH)/T) . Table (2) shows the values of "c" and "n" parameters, coefficients of determination, standard deviation and the standard error for adsorption and adsorption experiments for both varieties tested.

The coefficient of determination was low and has values ranging between 0.4164 and 0.584 for all experiments. The Henderson's model has fairly high values of deviation and standard error as depicted in Table (2) and, thereby, making the equation inadequate. This result agrees with Chen (2000).

### **Combined effect of the relative humidity and absolute air temperature on the EMC:**

The combined effect of the relative humidity ranging between 2.5 to 87% and absolute air temperature "Tabs" ranging between 303 to 316 °K on the EMC was investigated. Multiple regression analysis was conducted and the following model was found to be satisfied :

$$EMC = a_1 + m_1 RH - d Tabs \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

Table (1) includes values of  $a_1$  ,  $m_1$  and  $d$  for both adsorption and desorption experiments and the two varieties tested .Table (3) shows that the coefficient, of determination of the relationship between relative humidity and EMC are 0.924, 0.895 and 0.898, 0.879 for the adsorption and desorption experiments for the Is1 and G5 varieties respectively.

Adding the absolute temperature to the model reduced the coefficients of determination to 0.907, 0.872 and 0.875, 0.853 for the adsorption and desorption experiments of the Is1 and G5 varieties respectively, as depicted in Table (3).

The partial effect of the relative humidity on the EMC was evaluated using the partial correlation coefficients which are 0.88, 0.87 and 0.87, 0.84 of the

adsorption and desorption experiments for Is1 and G5 varieties respectively as depicted in Table (4). The partial effect of the absolute temperature on the EMC was also evaluated. It was found that the values of partial correlation coefficients were decreased to 0.15, 0.20 and 0.21, 0.20 of the adsorption and desorption experiments for Is1 and G5 varieties respectively as depicted in Table (4).

### Variety effect

Figs 6 to 7 show the variety effect for both desorption and adsorption tests. It is clear that the variety effect on the variability of the EMC was found to be minor, ranging between 0.04 to 0.62 % db. This means that the EMC data of one variety can be used for the other without significant error.

Table(2) Non-linear regression analysis for determining the compatibility of experimental data to Henderson's model.


Peanut variety	Ads./Des.	C	n	R <sup>2</sup>	Standard deviation	Standard error
IS1	Ads.	7.2418	1.2418	0.5138	0.4700	9.61
	Des.	7.7516	1.6378	0.5841	0.5522	8.57
G5	Ads.	7.2886	1.4023	0.4164	0.5469	8.49
	Des.	7.4230	1.4521	0.5065	0.5220	8.57

Table (3) Coefficients of determination between EMC, RH and EMC,RH, Tabs for the multiple regression analysis .

Peanut variety	Coefficients of determination			Standard deviation with	
	Ads./Des	EMC & RH	EMC&RH&Tabs	RH%	Tabs
IS1	Ads.	0.924	0.907	0.008271	0.0498
	Des.	0.898	0.875	0.009640	0.0580
G5	Ads.	0.895	0.872	0.00978	0.0589
	Des.	0.879	0.853	0.01060	0.0638

Table (4) Partial correlation coefficients of EMC,RH and EMC, & Tabs.

Peanut variety	Ads./Des	EMC & RH	EMC & Tabs
IS1	Ads.	0.88	0.15
	Des.	0.87	0.21
G5	Ads.	0.87	0.20
	Des.	0.84	0.20



## **SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION**

In the present study adsorption and desorption moisture contents of Ismalia1 and Giza5 varieties of peanut were evaluated using the dynamic method. Six different experiments were carried out for each peanut variety to determine the adsorption and desorption EMC at four different relative humidities: 25, 32, 64 and 87% and three different air temperatures 30, 36 and 43 °C.

Regression analysis was made for the experimental data, it was found that:

- 1- The exponential model is satisfied for the relationship between the equilibrium moisture content and the relative humidity with coefficient of determination ranging between 0.93-0.99.
- 2- Non linear regression analysis was made for evaluating the compatibility of the experimental data to Henderson's model as reported by Hall (1980). It was found that the Henderson's model has fairly high values of deviation and standard error, Thereby, making the model inadequate.
- 3- The relative humidity "RH" has more pronounced effect on the variability of the equilibrium moisture content "EMC" than the absolute air temperature "Tabs". Multiple regression analysis was conducted and the following model was found to be satisfied:

$$EMC = a_1 + m_1 RH - d Tabs \quad (R^2 \text{ range } 0.90 - 0.872)$$

Where  $a_1$ ,  $m_1$  and  $d$  are constants .

- 4- The partial correlation coefficients between the equilibrium moisture content and the relative humidity ranged between 0.88-0.84, whereas, they ranged between 0.15-0.21 for the equilibrium moisture content and the absolute temperature relationships.
- 5- The variety of peanut is found to have little effect on the variability of the equilibrium moisture content (0.04-0.62% d.b.). This means that the equilibrium moisture content data of one variety can be used for other without significant error.

## REFERENCES

- ASAE 1994. Moisture measurement-peanut .Agric. Eng. Yearbook 5410Dec92St. Joseph Michigan, :477-478.
- Chen C. and R.V. morey 1989. Comparison of four EMC/RH Equations. Trans. Of theASAE.32(3):983-990.
- Chen C. 2000. A rapid method to determine the sorption isotherms of peanut. J. Agric. Eng. Res. (75) :401-408.
- FAO2008. Cultivated area of agric. crops. [www. org./docrep/v9998e/v9998 Oe.htm](http://www.org./docrep/v9998e/v9998 Oe.htm). at 12/10/2008.
- Ghanem T.H. 1998. Solar energy utilization, specifically on drying or sterilization of animal manure under Egyptian conditions for use as protein supplement in animal feed. Ph.D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Eng. Dept. Al-Azhar U.: 95-99.
- Ghanem T.H. and I.S. El-Soaly 1999. Desorptoin equilibrium moisture content relationship for okra as affected by ambient air temperature and relative humidity. The 7<sup>th</sup> conf. Misr Soc. of Agric. Eng., 27-28 Oct.: 50-59.
- Hall, C.W. 1980. Drying and storage of agricultural crops. The AVI Pub. Inc. Westport Conn. U.S.A.: 921-308.
- Hummeida M.A. and M.A. Ismail 1989. Determination of equilibrium moisture content for two varieties of peanut. Agric. Mech. Asia and Latin America. 20(3): 53-58.
- Tgwa A., Murata S. and Hayashi, 1993. Latent heat of vaporization in buckwheat using the data of equilibrium moisture content. Trans. ASAE 36(10):113-114.

### الملخص العربي

## المحتوى الرطوبى التعادلى لصنفين من الفول السودانى

د/ طارق حسين غانم      د/محمد احمد السيد شيتيوى      د/ سمير حافظ محمد دسوقى

يهدف البحث الى دراسة العلاقة بين المحتوى الرطوبى التعادلى لصنفين من الفول السودانى (اسماعلية ١ و جيزة ٥) عند ثلاث درجات حرارة (٣٠ و ٣٦ و ٤٣ م °) وأربع مستويات من الرطوبة النسبية ٢,٥ , ٣٢ , ٦٤ , ٨٩ % على التوالى .

و بإستخدام الإنحدار تم التوصل الى الآتى:

١- تمثل المعادلة الأسية العلاقة بين المحتوى الرطوبى التعادلى والرطوبة النسبية بدرجة عالية، حيث تراوح معامل الارتباط بين ٠,٩٣ الى ٠,٩٩ .

٢- بإستخدام الإنحدار غير خطى على النتائج التجريبية لدراسة مدنتوافق تلك النتائج مع نموذج هندرسون للمحتوى الرطوبى التعادلى، تبين أن النموذج موضع الدراسة غير متوافق مع النتائج التجريبية، حيث ترايدت قيمة كل من الإنحراف القياسى والخطأ القياسى.

٣- ان الرطوبة النسبية لها تأثير واضح على تغير المحتوى الرطوبى التعادلى مقارنةً بتأثير تغير درجات الحرارة المطلقة وبناءً على ذلك تصبح صورة المعادلة المنتبأ بها :

$$EMC = a_1 + m_1 RH - d Tabs$$

ثابت  $a_1, m_1, d$  حيث يتراوح قيمة معامل الارتباط بين ٠,٨٨ الى ٠,٩٠ % علماً بأن

- تراوح أيضاً معامل الارتباط الجزئى بين المحتوى الرطوبى التعادلى والرطوبة النسبية بين 4

٠,٨٤ الى ٠,٨٨ ، فى حين تراوح معامل الارتباط الجزئى بين المحتوى الرطوبى التعادلى

ودرجة الحرارة المطلقة بين ٠,١٥ الى ٠,٢١ مما يؤكد ان الرطوبة النسبية هى العامل المتفوق فى التأثير .

٥- وبدراسة مدى تأثير صنف الفول السودانى على تغير المحتوى الرطوبى التعادلى تبين انه ليس هناك تأثير معنوى للصنف على تغير المحتوى الرطوبى التعادلى، حيث كان تغير المحتوى الرطوبى بين ٠,٠٤ الى ٠,٦٢ % على أساس المحتوى الجاف، وهذا يعنى أنه يمكننا استخدام بيانات أحد الصنفين بدلاً من الآخر بدون أى خطأ معنوى.

أستاذ مساعد الهندسة الزراعية - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الأزهر،  
مدرس الهندسة الزراعية - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الأزهر.