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ABSTRACT

The maximum prevention control for both moth to reach tubers and exposure tubers to light mechanically through the constructed shape of the potato hill by each potato planter was the main aim of this study. For this purpose the performance of a modified potato planter, equipped with designed bedding unit (Batana) was investigated. The investigation was accomplished through comparison with other two automatic common used potato planters. Nicola, Spunta and Lady Rosetta potato seed varieties under drip irrigation at planting spring season cultivated in sandy soil within planting distance intra-row of 25cm and planting depths 3, 5, 7 cm from soil surface were the material cultivar of the investigated planters. The performance evaluation included mechanical as well as work rates at different planting speeds for the compared planters and yield components. The mechanical performance included (total traction force in kN and total power in kW, fuel and specific fuel consumption in L/h and L/kW.h, tractor drive wheel slip in %, field capacity in fed/h and efficiency in %) as an average values. Yield components included the total tuber yield, tuber moth infestation and tuber greening in ton/fed.

BACKGROUND

The greening of the potato tuber is associated with forming the glycoalkaloids solanine and chaconine concentrated under the skin, which have toxic properties (Brown and Keeler 1978). Concentrations of the glycoalkaloids vary according to environmental conditions during growth, particularly exposure to light as well as genetic factors (Jadhav & Salunkhe 1975). Also the potato tuber moth (PTM), Phthorimaea operculella (Zeller) is the most important potato pests in warm temperate and tropical areas.

It is the number one pest of potato throughout the Middle East and North Africa. It damages both foliage and tubers. In Egypt populations are low during the cold and rainy winter period, but increase to significant levels during the hot and dry summer months. In the field, the potato tuber moth can damage up to 25% particularly if the harvest is delayed but in storage it can damage 100% of the tubers (Hanafi 2004).

Toader and Draica (1983), Talburt, and Smith (1987) and Abou El-Magd (2001) reported that the choice of potato planting system is of great importance that is because environmental conditions are greatly influencing potato production quantity and quality. Peter (1990) outlined the criteria for evaluating potato planter performance as field efficiency and work quality, other factors such as planting performance and seed crop size and shape are important. Potatoes are generally grown on ridges. Ridges have the advantage that planting does not have to be done too deeply, while later in the season a ridge can be built up to ensure that the developing tubers are covered with an adequate layer of soil. Bishop and Maunder (1980) and CIP (1993) showed that the ideal potato ridge width ranges from 0.65 to 0.90 m. They added that the row might have a cross-sectional area of about 0.075 m² and the distance within the row depends on other factors such as the yield, variety of potatoes, and type of soil. They concluded that row width of about 0.75 m and distance within the row ranging from 0.25 to 0.30 m result in a satisfactory yield.

Jarvis and Palmer 1973, El-Shal and Shehata (1987), Chmielnicki (1988) and Ismail (1991) stated that depth of planting has an effect on yield and deep planting may reduce total yield. Depth of planting should be adjusted according to the soil conditions. Generally, they concluded that variations in depth within the normal range of 0 -7.5 cm below ground level appear to have little effect. In this aspect the tubers should be covered with sufficiently deep layer of soil to protect the tubers from direct light (which causes them to become green), from high temperature (second growth) and from insect damage (tuber mouth). Zaag et al. (1987) reported that double-row beds enhanced yields by up to 3 ton/ha over single row ridges. They pointed out that shallow planting gave faster emergence and canopy development but it did not improve yields, and
resulted in more greening tubers. **Kistanov and Oshurkov (2001)** used 70,75 or 90 cm between rows, forward speed of 0.56 -1.89 km/h, and tuber spacing in the row of 35,70 or 105 cm. Planting depth was 4 - 12 cm. The corresponding plant density was 20000-40000 tubers/ha. **Mir (2006)** evaluated potato planter performance by seed spacing, planter speed, and seed depth. He pointed out that planter speed had consistent importance for achieving good planter performance. **Todar and Draica (1983)** found that with mechanical planter, the speed of 5km/h is recommended to achieve uniform distribution of tubers within the row at recommended depth of 10-12 cm. According to **Siemens and Bowers (1999)** fuel consumption is expressed as specific volumetric fuel consumption (L/kW-h) which is generally not affected by engine size and pointed out that for diesel engines typically it ranges from 0.24 to 0.56 L/kW-h. **Helsel and Oguntunde(1985)** summarized fuel consumption values for many field operations and reported that the average value for potato planter ranged from 3.74 to 7.47 L/fed. **Awady et al. (1993)** reported that the planting machine slippage caused greater increase in missing hills, and hills spacing, they added that slippage percent increased with the planting depth. According to **ASAE (1992)** the slip percentage can be determined from the following formula:

\[
SL \% = \frac{(VT-VO)}{VT} \times 100
\]

Where: VT = theoretical traveled speed m/s,
VO = actual operating speed m/s,

The objective of this work was to discover means of mechanical preventing access of PTM larvae and light to tuber before harvesting through a comparative study of the performances of a modified automatic potato planter attached with bedding tool called (Battana) designed for this purpose with two other ridge planters of the same type.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

1- **Potato planters**

The developed two rows automatic potato planter (M₁) components including the main operating elements to suit the bed system of planting is shown in figure (1).
Figure (1): Developed potato planter with bedding system
1-Furrow opener, 2-Drive wheel, 3-Feeding mechanism, 4-Cup conveyor belt, 5-Hopper, 6-Covering discs, 7-Bedding unit, 8-Adjustable supply slide, and 9-Vibrator.

It can be fitted on the tractor using the three hitching points system. All its components were manufactured and modified locally as shown in figure (2).

Figure (2): Elevation and side view of the developed potato planter (M1)
The modification procedures of the potato planter included the main operating elements such as: the tuber seed tank and the positions of the formation ridging units. Furthermore, six main units included the furrow opener, covering discs, transmission system, tuber seed hopper, hitching system and the frame attached as a bedding unit with the design specifications as shown in figure (3). It was regarded that this planter can be fabricated from locally available materials and not requiring sophisticated fabrication techniques in addition most of the materials utilized in the fabrication are of the standard size and are readily available in any locality. The present study included also two other automatic two-rows potato planters M2 and M3 of the same type but differ in ridge formation (hill or normal pyramid shape) and used in comparison with the developed planter M1. The distance between discs which adjusted to produce fair hills with uniform cover in all soil conditions is selected on the basis of inter-row spacing. In M2 it was 75cm, in M3 it was 90cm and with the bed unit it was available for 40, 45 and 50 cm as shown in Figure (4).

![Figures (3&4): Bedding unit of the developed potato planter (M1) - Planting and row formation systems of the planters M1, M2 and M3](image)

2-Tractors

Two diesel tractors were used especially for traction force determinations (Landini 2 wheel drive and Landini 4 wheel drive, 64.17 kW each).
3- Tested soil characteristics
The soil texture of the soil was sandy with the gradients of mechanical analysis (854 sand, 54silt, 92clay- g/1000 g soil-).

4- Tuber seeds properties, numbers and plants
Potato (solanum tuberosum L.) Nicola, Spunta and Lady Rosetta varieties were used in the present study. Seeds were graded before keeping in the cold store to a size of 40-50 mm as a proper size and shape for the given size of planter’s cells. Kepner et al. (1986), stated that smooth seeds approaching spherical tuber shape are best adapted to precision planting. Ebubekir (2005) found that seed tuber distribution pattern in the row was distributed as tuber size and machine forward speed increased.
The average mass of different potato seed tubers was 40±10g and recommended by Ismaiel and Abo El-Magd (1994) to suite the different potato planters and for high percentage of emerged plants. The main dimensions, length, width and thickness, of the previous seed tuber varieties were 58±3, 40±2, 35±2 ; 55±3, 48±2, 40±2 and 40±2, 40±2, 40±2 mm respectively. Seed tubers, whole seeds, were 1000, 900, and 750 kg/feddan for M1, M2 and M3 planters and the average number of plants was 24000, 22400 and 18660 per feddan in case of planting space of 25 cm respectively. The number of plants/m² (Np) was determined as the following due to the wheel track related to each potato planter:

\[ Np = 2 \text{ rows/ (wheel track, m} \times \text{intra-row planting distance, m)} \]

5- Fuel measuring tank
Fuel consumption was measured by L/h using a small auxiliary tank of about 4 liters capacity as fuel meter connected to the tractor fuel tank during each concerned plot experiment figure (5-A). This measurement accomplished in the field practically by filling the fuel tank of the tractor before and after finishing each experimental operation, noting the area covered. The number of liters used, divided by the area covered, gives the fuel consumption in liters per unit area (L/feddan).

6- Spring dynamometer
Total traction force, net force and rolling resistance data were measured by the method recommended by ASAE (194) when a spring dynamometer developed by El-Sheikha (1989) used after its calibration as shown in figure (5-B). Hence in each plot of each experimental
treatment, the planter was mounted on the main tractor and pulled by another one. The tensile forces were then measured as the horizontal component of the force between the driving tractor and the main tractor-combination. In this direction, rolling resistance was defined as the force required for moving both the main tractor and potato planter over tested plots when the planter was in lifted position. Total traction force was the recorded reading by the spring dynamometer between the two tractors (the main and the driving) when each planter was in forming and planting position of two rows at the specified plot under the concerned conditions of the experiment. Then the net force was calculated as the difference between both the total traction force and the rolling resistance in kN.

7-Other instruments
Tachometer, It was used to measure the rational speeds.
Balance, It was used to measure the weight of tubers resulted from the tested treatments and their replicates.

Steel tape, It was used to measure the length of the planter tracks, traveled distances, lengths of the tested plots, distance between the hills and dimensions of tuber distribution in the row.

Figure (5): A-Fuel measuring tank   B- Spring dynamometer

Experimental procedures
The experiments of the present study were carried out during growing spring season at El Bustan, El-Noubaria region. The texture of the soil was sandy as mentioned before. Soil was ploughed before planting followed by cultivation, additional animal manure, chemical fertilizer application and two more plough runs to insure a good seed bed. Ridges
(two row distances) were prepared within the distance of 140 cm for (M1); 150 cm for (M2) and 180 cm for (M3). A factorial experiment based on randomized complete block design with three replications was conducted in three rectangular shape fields with the area 42×100 m² for each. The performance measurements were conducted to investigate the developed planter with the attached bedding unit (M1) comparing with the two automatic planters (M2) and (M3). The mechanical performance and work rates parameters were investigated against five forward speed levels of 3, 3.8, 5, 5.5 and 7 km/h, and also against three planting depths 3, 5 and 7 cm from soil surface in sandy soil. These measured and calculated parameters necessary for planting and bed or ridge forming were total traction force (TF), total power requirements (TP) fuel consumption (FC), specific fuel consumption (SFC), slip ratio (SL %), actual field capacity (fc) and field efficiency (fe %).

To evaluate the productivity and conditions of the yielded potato tubers, samples were collected randomly from different localities in concerned arias with three replications at harvest time. Average total potato yield, potato tuber moth infestation, greening of potato tubers in ton/feddan and in percentages were conducted for sandy soil under different treatments including drip irrigation system, intra-row distance of 25 cm, at forward speed of 5 km/h and row distance 75 cm by (M2), 90 cm by (M3) and 45 cm by the bed system (M1).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**A-Field evaluation of the mechanical and work rate performance**

The mechanical and work rate performance parameters of the potato planters were inspected during using the forming bed system and the two other planting methods in sandy soil as:

**A-1-The average traction force (TF)**

The average total traction force values determined for the different planters M1, M2 and M3 and where an auxiliary tractor combination was used with every planter to form the three investigated ridge shapes and achieving planting operation at five different speed levels 3, 3.8, 5, 5.5, 7 km/h and three planting depths (3, 5 and 7 cm) in three replicates are
statistically analyzed using multiple regression analysis. The obtained multiple linear regression equations clarify the relation between traction force (TF, kN) and each of planting speed (S, km/h) and at planting depths (D, cm) as follows:

For $M_1$: \[ TF = 6.231 + 1.903 S + 0.695 D \quad R^2 = 0.962, \quad STD = 0.583 \]

For $M_2$: \[ TF = 10.629 + 1.703 S + 0.490 D \quad R^2 = 0.972, \quad STD = 0.469 \]

For $M_3$: \[ TF = 11.649 + 1.806 S + 0.455 D \quad R^2 = 0.965, \quad STD = 0.553 \]

It can be seen that the total traction resistance has an increasing trend as the forward speed increases for all the tested depths. In the same time the planting depth was combined with a similar but higher effect on the total traction force depending on the soil moved for forming seed bed by each potato planter.

**A-2-The required traction power (TP)**

The average values of power requirements for all investigated ridge construction and planting methods were varying linearly with the planting speed. As the planting speed ranged from 3 to 7 km/h the power requirements were increased at planting depth 3 cm in the range from 12.75 to 42.0 kW at $M_1$ and in case of $M_2$ it was 14.0 to 45.7 kW and for $M_3$ it was 14.5 to 49.19 kW. That behavior was similar for all potato planters when practicing the two other depths 5 and 7 cm.

Multiple regression equations were conducted to clarify the relation between traction power (TP, kW) and each of planting speed (S, km/h) and at planting depths (D, cm):

For $M_1$: \[ TP = -16.947 + 7.949 S + 0.983 D \quad R^2 = 0.987, \quad STD = 1.425 \]

For $M_2$: \[ TP = -14.201 + 8.281 S + 0.657 D \quad R^2 = 0.990, \quad STD = 1.251 \]

For $M_3$: \[ TP = -14.004 + 8.806 S + 0.563 D \quad R^2 = 0.997, \quad STD = 0.773 \]

Comparing the investigated ridge construction and planting planters, based on the power requirements (kW) it can be seen that in case of the two-row ($M_1$) planter with row spacing of 45 cm and the distance between the tractor tiers is 140 cm, it needs the less power than ($M_2$) and ($M_3$) respectively.

**A-3-Fuel consumption (FC)**

The rate of fuel consumed (FC, L/h) during carrying out the three ridge formation and planting methods was measured and recorded. The
collected data was subjected to statistical multiple regression analysis which yielded the following multiple linear regression equations which clarify the effect of planting speed (S, km/h) and at planting depths (D, cm) on the fuel consumed in this operation (FC, L/h) as follows:

For M₁:  \[ FC = 2.126 + 0.582 \times S + 0.625 \times D \quad R^2 = 0.922, \text{STD} = 0.421 \]

For M₂:  \[ FC = 0.769 + 1.098 \times S + 0.585 \times D \quad R^2 = 0.947, \text{STD} = 0.474 \]

For M₃:  \[ FC = 1.582 + 0.922 \times S + 0.605 \times D \quad R^2 = 0.890, \text{STD} = 0.633 \]

The results indicated that increasing either operating speed or planting depth gave a sensible increment rates in fuel consumption rates. However this effect was differed according to planting methods by M₁, M₂ and M₃ planters. In this direction, it can be seen that fuel consumption rates were always lower in bed system M₁ that might be due to the power required in all different planting depths. A general relationship was found that the forward speed was directly proportional with the fuel rate at all the circumstances related.

A-4-**Specific fuel consumption (SFC)**

The specific fuel consumption (SFC) parameter was calculated by dividing each actual fuel consumption value by the corresponding data of the required power. The average values of (SFC) were closest to the normal recommended values for the diesel engine tractors (0.24 to 0.56 L/kW-h) by Siemens and Bowers (1999) at forward speed from 3 to 7 km/h and planting depth from 3 to 7 cm.

The SFC was varied from 0.342 to 0.569 and 0.32 to 0.519 and 0.274 to 0.514 when forward planting speed varied from 3 to 5 km/h at planting depth of 5 cm for the three planters M₁, M₂ and M₃ respectively.

The analysis of specific fuel consumption data were demonstrated by the following multiple linear regression equations as a function of planting speed (S, km/h) and planting depth (D, cm):

For M₁:  \[ \text{SFC} = 0.658 - 0.081 \times S + 0.017 \times D \quad R^2 = 0.891, \text{STD} = 0.045 \]

For M₂:  \[ \text{SFC} = 0.547 - 0.058 \times S + 0.015 \times D \quad R^2 = 0.877, \text{STD} = 0.035 \]

For M₃:  \[ \text{SFC} = 0.540 - 0.061 \times S + 0.015 \times D \quad R^2 = 0.867, \text{STD} = 0.039 \]
The equations clarify that the SFC was inversely proportional with forward speed and directly proportional with planting depth. A reversible effect was noticed between forward speed on each of SFC and FC, while the effect of planting depth was positively the same.

**A-5-Tractor drive wheel slip (SL %)**

The obtained data of slippage as a function of draft force are shown in figure (6) for the three planters $M_1$, $M_2$ and $M_3$ as affected by planting depth (3, 5 and 7 cm) and a range of forward speed from 3 to 7 km/hr. The collected data of slippage was subjected to statistical multiple regression analysis and yielded the following multiple linear regression equations as follows:

For $M_1$  
$$\text{Slip} \% = -24.0233 + 3.6875 S + 3.615 D$$  
$$R^2 = 0.875 \quad \text{STD} = 3.299$$

For $M_2$  
$$\text{Slip} \% = -23.7012 + 4.0068 S + 4.1865 D$$  
$$R^2 = 0.920 \quad \text{STD} = 2.905$$

For $M_3$  
$$\text{Slip} \% = -24.1123 + 4.2079 S + 4.2750 D$$  
$$R^2 = 0.912 \quad \text{STD} = 3.155$$

The equations cleared that using the planters $M_1$, $M_2$ and $M_3$ at high speed of 7km/h exhibited high drive wheel slip percent while planting at 3 km/h gave low wheel slip percent especially at planting depths 5 and 7 which affect directly on the maximum available power of the drive tractor used in planting operation. So, the suitable forward speed for the different planters especially at 5 cm planting depth may be considered 5 km/h owing that the slippage should not exceed 15% for the combination of the potato tractors (Siepman 1983), A remarkable important notice was found, that planting by bed system planter recorded lowest slip ratio average values.

**A-6-Actual field capacity ($f_c$)**

The effects of planting speed and depth on the actual field capacity ($f_c$) are studied. It is obvious that the field capacities of all planters at the same travel speed were decreased with sensible rates by increasing either the planting depth (3, 5 and 7 cm) or decreasing the
Figure (6): Draft force versus slippage for the three planters M₁, M₂ and M₃ at different planting speed from 3 to 7 km/h and depths from 3 to 7 cm.

essential planting width (140, 150 and 180 cm) for forming two rows as a self specification related to every planter respectively. In the same time it was noticed that the actual field capacity was directly proportional as general trend with planting speed for all levels of planting depth. Considering the effect of the planting speed 5km/h and the planting depths 3, 5 and 7 cm, the actual field capacities were (1.3, 1.2 and 1.1), (1.37, 1.28 and 1.2) and (1.66, 1.54 and 1.43) Fed./h for M₁, M₂ and M₃.
A-7-Field efficiency (fe %)
The obtained average values of the field efficiency related to the performance of the planters M₁, M₂ and M₃ were inversely proportional with the planting forward speed and planting depth. At forward speed of 5 km/h and at planting depth (3, 5 and 7 cm) the field efficiency were (78, 72 and 66), (77, 72 and 67) and (78, 72 and 67) % for M₁, M₂ and M₃ respectively.

B- The productivity and condition of harvested potato tubers:
The yield and quality of potato tubers production is considered a main goal of the agricultural processes, and is the main factor of the compared potato planter's performance and function. Potato tuber moth infestation, greening of tubers and total yield under drip irrigation system and sandy soil at inter-row planting space of 25 cm for all planters and row spaces 75 cm in M₂, 90 cm in M₃ and 45 cm in the bed system M₁ were the main and essential studied parameters.

Data in table (1) represent the average values collected when planting potato seeds for Nicola (N.V), Spunta (Sp.V.) and Lady Rosetta (L.R.V.) varieties which were accomplished at 3, 5 and 7 cm from soil surface at spring season. Figure (7) demonstrates the average data collected in bar drawing. Data represent the resultant performance related to yield and its components of the three previous two-row potato planters. The affected parameters by the previous factors were potato yield as the average values of the infected potato tubers by moth, green tubers and total yield in ton/fed.

Table (1) Average potato production, infestation and greening of different tuber varieties planting with M₁, M₂ and M₃.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Parameters</th>
<th>Potato Variety</th>
<th>Planter (M1)</th>
<th>Planter (M2)</th>
<th>Planter (M3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>D3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Yield (t/f)</td>
<td>N.V.</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>17.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sp.V.</td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>17.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L.R.V.</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>16.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTM (t/f)</td>
<td>N.V.</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sp.V.</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L.R.V.</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. (t/f)</td>
<td>N.V.</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sp.V.</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L.R.V.</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B-1-Total yield (ton/fed)
Data presented in figure (7) show consistently obvious differences in the average magnitudes obtained for total yield as well as its components. It was observed that using the two-row planter M₁ with the bed unit significantly increased the average total tuber yield compared to M₂ and M₃. For instance, the percentages of increasing rates in Nicola variety were (6, 10) – (9, 10) and (6, 6) % for the three planting depths 3, 5 and 7cm. Besides, the lowest average values of total yield (ton/Fed) were obtained as increasing planting depth.

B-2-Potato tuber moth infestation, insect damage,
It is apparent from the same figure (7) that the infected potatoes with tuber moth when planting was achieved by the two-row in bed system is decreased to zero and the yield was nearly free from infected tubers compared to M₂ and M₃ potato planters especially with deeper planting depths. This result can be seen clearly for the tubers of the three varieties Nicola, Spunta and Lady Rosetta. The average values of the infected potato tubers resulted were (0.189-0.111-0.0); (0.235-0.074-0.0); (0.052-0.0-0.0) ton/fedan as the three planting depths used by M₁ respectively. The importance of such parameter is that the potato tuber moth can damage the entire crop later due to their eggs in tubers if existed. An essential fact that, tubers can be infected as they find the way or the reason to go out of the row surface. Therefore, this decrement in infected tubers may be due to the bed system planter (M₁) which was capable to form the raw surface flat by the attached bedding unit to make a good shape of the bed with removing bigger volume of soil, then the way for the tuber to go out of the surface becomes weaker. Otherwise, when comparing this situation with M₂ and M₃ potato planters the shape of the planted row takes the normal pyramid form which easy to be destroyed from the top where additional hilling is needed during growing process. Potato tuber moth infestation controlled mechanically by such attached bed system will be better for public health, environment and economic point of view.

B-3- Greening
The average values of greening tubers demonstrated in figure (7) show clearly the effect of the planting method system (M₁, M₂ and M₃ planters) and planting depths from soil surface (3,5and7cm). As expected
Total yield (ton/fedan) and its components at 5 km/h - 25 cm intra-row distance using drip irrig. in sandy soil (Nicola variety)

Total potato yield and its components (ton/fedan) at 5 km/h and 25 cm intra-row distance using drip irrigation in sandy soil (Sponta variety)

Total yield (ton/fedan) and its components at 5 km/h - 25 cm intra-row distance using drip irrig. in sandy soil (Lady Rosseta variety)

Figure (7): Potato tubers yield and condition
the calculated percentages of greening tubers with respect to the total yield- generally decreased as seed tubers were planted by M₁ with the attached bedding unit (Battana) than by M₂ or M₃ in sandy soil with drip irrigation application for all potato varieties. According to the calculated percentages of greening tubers related to planter M₁, it decreased from 0.25 to 0.0; from 0.26 to 0.0, and from 0.052 to 0.0 ton/fedan as the planting depth increased from 3 to 7 cm for Nicola, Spunta and Lady Rosetta varieties respectively.

CONCLUSIONS

The developed potato planter M₁ needed lower power than M₂ and M₃. The specific fuel consumption (L/kW-h) obtained at 3.8 to 5 km/h planting speed and 5 cm cultivation depth were 0.41-0.3, 0.4-0.3 and 0.38-0.27 for M₁, M₂ and M₃ planters. The modified planter (M₁) recorded the lowest slip ratio which may be because of the effect of Battana for making good stability. The field capacities accomplished using the modified potato planter M₁, at planting speed of 3.8 to 5 km/h and potato planting depth of 5 cm approached those obtained when practicing M₂ and M₃ as follows 0.79-0.72; 0.79-0.72 and 0.78-0.72% for M₁, M₂ and M₃.

Concerning the productivity of potato tubers yield, infested tubers with moth and green tubers the developed planter M₁ significantly increased the average total tuber yield compared to M₂ and M₃ especially at the planting depth of 5 cm used. Insect damage by potato tuber moth of the yield planted by the bed system planter was nearly disappeared for the three varieties Nicola, Spunta and Lady Rosetta especially at deeper planting depths compared by M₂ and M₃. It was found that the recorded average values of the infected potato tubers yield by (M₁) in ton/Fed for the three varieties were (0.189, 0.111, 0.0) - (0.235, 0.074, 0.0) and (0.052, 0.0, 0.0) at depths of 3, 5, 7 cm.

The observation of the green tubers indicated that the higher planting depth the lower the percentages of related greening tubers. Also the results indicated that developed planter (M₁) gave the lower averages of greening tubers especially at deeper planting depths compared with M₂ and M₃. The average values of the green potato tubers resulted by (M₁) of the cultivars Nicola, Spunta and Lady Rosetta were (0.2457, 0.148, 0.0) - (0.2548, 0.111, 0.0) and (0.0516, 0.034, 0.0) ton/Fed at 3, 5 and 7 cm planting depth.
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تطوير آله لزراعة البطاطس لتقليل الفاقذ من فراشة الدرنات والأخضرار

د. محمد عبد الفتاح عبد المقصود د.أحمد حسن جمعة د. حازم أحمد Height **

تم في هذا البحث دراسة آلة للإله مطورة تستخدم في زراعة خيطين لمحصول البطاطس خلال أجزاء مجموعة من التجارب الحقلية بمناطق البستان بالنوبوية وذلك بمقدار نسب عوامل الإله لألفين أخرين أوتوماتيكين الغينية و من نفس النوع احدهما بعرض 150 والآخر بعرض 180 سم وذلك دراسة تأثير الإله المطورة وعوامل التشغيل المختلفة من سعة الإله وعمق الزراعة على انتاجية المحصول ونسبة الإصابة الحشرية و إخصار الدرنات. ولقد تم أعداد الإله المطورة بتساويها بحثة مصممة للقيام بعمل مصطبة بحيث تقوم الآلة بزراعة صفين ويمكن التحكم في المسافة بينهما بواسطة الإله نفسها. خصصت مجموعة التجارب لتقييم الإله الميكانيكي ومعدلات العمل لآلة زراعة الثلاثة من خلال تأثير كل من عامل السرعة الإمامية لتشكل خطوط أو الصفوف و الزراعة وكذلك عمق الزراعة مقاس من سطح التربية على متوسط قوة الشد الكلية بالكيلوونسinitial، متوسط القدرة الكلية بالكيولونديات، استهلاك الوقود، الاستهلاك النوعي للوقود بالتركلل سعة، كيلووات، النسبة المئوية للانزلاق، معدل الإياه، بالفشل في السرعة و النسبة المئوية للفاقذ الإله. كما أهتمت الدراسة أيضا بتقييم الإله من ناحية الإنتاجية لمحصول البطاطس (أصناف نيفولا-سيبولا، ليدي روزيتا) ودرجة الإصابة بفراشة الدرنات والأخضرار (طن فدان) مع الأخذ في الاعتبار تأثير عامل عمق الزراعة بالإضافة إلى استخدام السرعة المثلى وكذلك استخدام نظام اللي الحديث في نوع من التربية.

وقد أذعن الدراسة على العامل الأنثى: 

تم تطوير آله أوتوماتيكية الغينية لزراعة البطاطس (M1) وذلك بإزالة الفجوات وتزويدها ببنية بعرض أمامي 110 سم وعرض خلفي 140 سم وارتفاع 10 سم وتقوم الآلة بتكوين مصطفة لزراعة خيطين داخلها على ثلاث مسافات بينية يمكن التحكم فيها وهي 40 - 45 - 50 سم. ولقد تم استخدام المسافة 50 سم في الدراسة بحيث كانت المسافة بين منتصف المجلتين الخلفيتين لها 140 سم. تم مقارنة الإله للإله المطورة بالثنين أوتوماتيكين تقوم كل منهما بزراعة خطين بحيث كانت المسافة بين منتصف الخطوط لأجهزة 75 سم (M2)؛ الأخرى 90 سم (M3)؛ بينما كانت المسافة بين منتصف المجلتين الخلفيتين لكل منهما 150 و180 سم على التوالي.

(*) مساعد مساعد الهندسة الزراعية قسم الهندسة الزراعية - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنوفية. المنوفية. مصر.

(*) مهندس معيد بحث ودراسة النباتات – القاهرة. مصر.
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And the cultivation of five levels of the rate of cultivation (3, 3.8, 5, and 7/10 km/h). According to the results of the obtained results and taking into account the average rate of the three replicates (3, 3.8, and 5 km/h) and the best average rate of 5 km/h were used in the experiment.

1- Using the average of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the production of the three replicates (3, 3.8, and 5 km/h) and the best average rate of 5 km/h were used in the experiment.

2- The average rate was calculated by taking into account the average rate of each level of the rate of cultivation, for the production of 5 km/h, 5 km/h, and 5 km/h in the following order.

3- It is recommended to use the average rate of the rate of cultivation by taking into account the average rate of each level of the rate of cultivation, for the production of 5 km/h, 5 km/h, and 5 km/h in the following order.

4- It is recommended to use the average rate of the rate of cultivation by taking into account the average rate of each level of the rate of cultivation, for the production of 5 km/h, 5 km/h, and 5 km/h in the following order.

5- It is recommended to use the average rate of the rate of cultivation by taking into account the average rate of each level of the rate of cultivation, for the production of 5 km/h, 5 km/h, and 5 km/h in the following order.

Siemens and Bowers (1999).