ABSTRACT

Laboratory-scale digesters were constructed to investigate the effect of digester shape (horizontal and vertical) and substrate type, cattle dung (CD), chicken manure (CM) as mono-digestion and a mixture of them (50% CD+ 50% CM, w/w) as co-digestion on quantity and quality of biogas produced. The experimental work was carried out at 20±3 ºC (winter season), 29±3 ºC (summer season) and 38±1 ºC (heating treatment) through digestion period of 60 days.

The results showed that, the highest value methane 68.1% (by volume) was obtained from the vertical digester of fed with CD+CM at summer temperature. On the contrary, the lowest value of methane 42.0% was obtained in the horizontal digesters fed with CM alone under heating temperature. The highest value of specific methane production rate of 238 L kg⁻¹ VS added per day was obtained from the horizontal digesters fed with CD+CM at summer temperature, while the lowest rate of 32 L kg⁻¹ VS added per day was observed in the horizontal digesters fed with CM alone under heating temperature.

INTRODUCTION

The yearly wet manure production from cattle; chickens, ducks and turkeys are about 11 million-ton in Egypt (FAO, 2001). The anaerobic digestion for treatment of organic wastes and biogas
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production is an environmentally attractive technology. It has environmental benefits including providing an indigenous and renewable energy source, reducing air pollution, reducing water pollution, combining organic waste treatment with recycling of plant nutrients and improving the fertilizer quality of farm manures, thus increasing the substitution of chemical fertilizers (Tafdrup, 1995). The cattle dung is the most suitable material for biogas plants because of the methane producing bacteria already contained in the stomach of ruminants. However the specific gas production is lower and the proportion of CH\(_4\) is around 65% because of pre-fermentation in the stomach (GTZ, 1999) and the average CH\(_4\) yield of 0.20 – 0.25 m\(^3\) kg\(^{-1}\)VS added (Hartmann et al., 2000). Whereas the higher nitrogen content of poultry wastes as compared to manures from other farm animals make them difficult substrate for anaerobic digestion (Salminen and Rintala, 2002a). Ammonia produced in protein degradation may cause problems in anaerobic digestion as unionized ammonia inhibits anaerobic microorganisms, particularly methanogenes (Angelidaki and Ahring, 1993). Therefore, the co-digestion of poultry wastes with dairy manure provides the necessary nutrients and buffer capacity and proved to be very successful way for improving biogas production (Weiland, 2000). Lusk (1999) stated that, the plug-flow digesters usually operate within the mesophilic temperature range, with a hydraulic retention time (HRT) of 20 to 30 days, depending on characteristics of the waste and operating temperature. Sanchez et al. (2000) studied the effect of temperature and pH on the kinetics of CH\(_4\) production in the batch anaerobic digestion of cattle manure. They found that the methane yield decreased when temperature was increased from 35 to 60 °C at values of 7.0 and 7.6 pH. The methane-producing bacteria live best under neutral to slightly alkaline conditions, which have different optimal pH ranges. Methanogenic archa can function in quite narrow pH interval from 5.5 to 8.5 with an optimal range of 6.5-8.0 (Nielsen, 2006).

Anaerobic digestion microorganisms utilize carbon 25-30 times faster than nitrogen. Thus to meet this requirement, microbes need a 20 to 30:1 ratio of C to N with the largest percentage of the carbon being readily degradable
The objective of this study is to investigate the effect of digester shape and substrate type on biogas production under different digestion temperatures.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

2.1. Bench-scale biogas digester

Horizontal and vertical cylindrical biogas digesters were constructed in the work shop of the Agricultural Engineering Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University to investigate the experimental parameters under study. Schematic diagram of horizontal and vertical biogas digesters are shown in Fig (1).

Each digester was fabricated from galvanized steel sheet of 1.5 mm thickness, 450 mm long and 250 mm diameter with total capacity of 22 liters and digestion volume of 17 liters. It has inlet and outlet tube for feeding by organic wastes and rejecting the digested materials. To follow up the digestion processes, the digester was provided by two orifices, one to release the produced gas and another for the pH-temperatures measurements. The heating unit consists of electrical water heater with supplement thermostatic electrical heater and suction pump 90-Watt motor assembled with an insulated water tank. The form of heating unit beside the close cycle serpentine is shown in Fig. (1).

2.2. Substrates

Cattle dung (CD) and chicken manure (CM) were taken from the Research Farm of Agriculture Faculty, Suez-Canal University. Table (1) illustrates chemical analysis of raw chicken manure and cattle dung.
Fig. (1): Schematic diagram of the horizontal and vertical bench-scale biogas digester.
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Table (1): Chemical analysis of raw cattle dung and chicken manure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical analysis</th>
<th>Cattle dung (CD)</th>
<th>Chicken manure (CM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH*</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>8.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total solids (TS), %</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile solids (VS), %</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile solids, % as TS</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>60.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash, % as TS</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon dry, %</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total nitrogen, %</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C:N ratio</td>
<td>20.8:1</td>
<td>8.51:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate, % (d. TS)</td>
<td>50.18</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw protein, % (d. TS)</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw fat, % (d. TS)</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In manure-water suspension (1:2.5)

The calculation of the total solids (TS %) of cattle dung and chicken manure were 17.3% and 21.7%, respectively. Due to the high content of total solids and its negative effect of biogas production, the cattle dung and chicken manure were diluted by tap water to rich total solid 7.75% (w/w). The compositions of mono and co-digestion of cattle dung and chicken manure under different operating temperatures are presented in Table (2).

2.3. Digestion temperature

The experimental work was carried out under horizontal (H) and vertical (V) digester at 20±3 °C winter season (w), 29±3 °C summer season (s) and 38±1 °C heating treatment (h); (Hw, Hs, Hh, Vw, Vs and Vh; respectively) through digestion period of 60 days. Temperature inside the digester at the beginning and end experiments are given in Table (3). It can be seen that there was difference between the initial and final temperature inside digester through the digestion period.
Table (2): Compositions of cattle dung (CD) and chicken manure (CM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Total solids (TS), %</th>
<th>Volatile solids (VS), %</th>
<th>Total nitrogen, %</th>
<th>C:N ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cattle dung (CD)</strong></td>
<td>20±3°C (Winter)</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29±3°C (Summer)</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38±1°C (Heating)</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chicken manure (CM)</strong></td>
<td>20±3°C (Winter)</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29±3°C (Summer)</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>9.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38±1°C (Heating)</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mixture 50% CD and 50% CM (w/w)</strong></td>
<td>20±3°C (Winter)</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29±3°C (Summer)</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38±1°C (Heating)</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3): Temperature (°C) through the continuous anaerobic mono and co-digestion of CD and CM from the beginning to the end experimental.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Final</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H_w</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>3.0702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_s</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>1.1724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_h</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>0.5765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_w</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>3.0405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_s</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>1.1797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_h</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>0.4979</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4. Analytical methods
Released biogas volume was collected in gasholder and estimated by using the wetted displacement with calibrated scale in liter as shown in Fig. (1). The biogas composition was fractioned in a percentage i.e. methane and CO₂ percentage using the potassium hydroxide 40% (Abdel-Hadi, 2008). The TS, VS and total nitrogen (TN) levels were estimated according to standard
methods (APHA, 1997). Organic carbon was calculated according to Black et al. (1965). Protein was calculated by multiplying total nitrogen by 6.25. Fat content was determined by Soxhlet apparatus (AOAC, 1990). Carbohydrate was calculated by the following formula:

\[ \text{Carbohydrate (\%)} = 100 - (\text{ash \%} + \text{protein \%} + \text{fat \%}) \]

The temperature and pH value of the mixtures solution inside the bench-scale digesters were measured regularly every day using Jenway pH hand held meter model 370pH/mv.

2.5. Experimental Procedure
To study the effect of digester shape, horizontal and vertical digesters shape under three lab-scale experiments digestion temperatures; at winter, summer and heating were carried out from mono and co-digestion of cattle dung and chicken manure. Each experiment was 60 days (the first 10 days are start-up period). At the beginning of each experiment, each digester (22 L) was fed with 17 kg of fresh CD slurry (7.75%) as a starter substrate. Then, 150 g of the influent substrates (CD, CM and CD+CM) at 7.75% TS were added to the digesters then the feeding rate gradually increased to 680 g at the end of the start-up period and still to the end of experiment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
During the first 10 days of the experimental period (60 days), the digesters were not unstable and methane contents in biogas were mostly less than 60%, therefore data concerning the biogas and methane production were recorded from day 11 to day 60.

3.1. Biogas and methane production
The cumulative biogas production of the horizontal and vertical digesters fed with 7.75% TS of mono-digestion CD, CM and co-digestion CD and CM at an average temperature of digestion 20±6 °C (winter season), 29±3 °C (summer season) and 38±1 °C (heating treatment) through the experimental period are shown in Fig (2). The maximum value of biogas production at the end of digestion period was 633 liters with CD+CM under the horizontal digester through summer season. Meanwhile, the minimum value of biogas
production recorded 96 liters with CM at the vertical digester through winter season.

Fig. (2): Cumulative biogas production from horizontal and vertical digesters fed with CD, CM and CD+CM at different conditions of digestion temperatures.

Average value of daily specific methane production in terms of VS added (L kg\(^{-1}\) VS d\(^{-1}\)) is illustrated in Fig (3). It can be shown that the maximum value of daily specific methane production 238 L kg\(^{-1}\) VS d\(^{-1}\) was obtained with the horizontal digester fed by CD+CM through summer season. Meanwhile, the minimum average value of daily specific methane production 27 L kg\(^{-1}\) VS d\(^{-1}\) was obtained with the vertical digester fed by CM through winter season.
Fig. (3): Average of daily specific Methane production from horizontal and vertical digesters fed with CD, CM and CD+CM at different digestion temperatures.

The results show that the cumulative biogas and specific methane production from the various substrates under horizontal and vertical digester can be arranged in the following descending: CD+CM > CD > CM. This result is in partially agreement with that of Güngör-Demirci and Demirer (2004) who stated that, the cattle dung led to better performance than chicken manure in terms of methane production. The higher nitrogen content of chicken wastes as compared to manure from other farm animals make them difficult substrate
for anaerobic digestion. On The other hand, digestion of chicken manure can produce toxic concentration of ammonia (NH₃) and hydrogen sulfide (H₂S). Also, it is obvious that the highest cumulative biogas and specific methane produced were obtained from the horizontal digester loaded with CD+CM, while the lowest one was evolved from the same type of digester with CM alone. The data also indicate that the vertical digesters loaded with CM alone gave significantly higher amounts of cumulative biogas and specific methane production than the corresponding amounts from the horizontal ones. This may be attributed to the different surface area of the digested substrates in both digester types. In the horizontal digesters the surface area of the substrate is higher than that in the vertical ones. Consequently, the microorganisms inside the different digester types will be different in their types and activities.

The results also, showed that the cumulative biogas and specific methane production from the horizontal or vertical digesters loaded with CD+CM at the tested digestion temperatures could be arranged in the following order: 29±3 °C > 38±1 °C > 20±3 °C, CD and CM in both digester types and CD in the horizontal digesters, the rates followed the order: 38±1 °C > 29±3 °C > 20±3 °C. This may be due to satisfactory gas production takes place in the mesophilic range, between 25 to 30 °C (FAO, 1997).

3.2. Methane and carbon dioxide concentration

Fig. (4) show that the methane concentrations (by volume) in biogas in all experiments varied considerably depending on the substrate and digester type as well as the digestion temperature. The digestion time lasted to reach 60% methane (volumetric basis) in the biogas (a sign of healthy digestion) was found in the three experiments to increase following the order: CM > CD+CM > CD. Explanation of this result is based upon the fact that CM is generally regarded as a difficult substrate for anaerobic digestion mainly because of its typically high proteins and lipids contain (Table 1). Protein degradation produce ammonia, the
unionized from, which is inhibitory to anaerobic microorganisms in high concentrations. Lipids, on the other hand, may cause problems in anaerobic digestion because of their tendency to from floating scum and accumulated long chain fatty acids (Salminen and Rintala, 2002a, b). It also increased with decreasing the digestion temperature. On the other hand, it was mostly longer in the horizontal digesters than the vertical ones.

As shown in the Fig. (4), the averages of methane concentrations from the vertical digesters fed with CD, CM and CD+CM at the winter were 58.6, 50.4 and 59.7%, respectively, at the summer were 61.3, 63.6 and 68.1%, respectively and at the heating were 63.1, 61.6 and 66.9% for the abovementioned substrates slurries, respectively. The corresponding averages of methane concentrations from the horizontal digesters fed with CD, CM and CD+CM at the winter were 60.0, 62.3 and 49.3%, respectively, at the summer were 61.1, 50.2 and 66.9%, respectively and at the heating were 58.1, 42.0 and 65.1%, respectively. However, the average methane contents in biogas evolved from the various substrates in the vertical digesters and from CD+CM in the horizontal ones at 20±3 °C were less than 60% (49.3-59.7%) indicating that the biogas produced from most treatments in the winter experiment was low quality in terms of energy production. On the other hand, methane contents in biogas evolved from the horizontal digesters loaded with CM decreased with increasing the digestion temperature whereas they were 62.3, 50.2 and 42.0% for 20±3 °C, 29±3 °C and 38±1 °C, respectively. This result confirmed the inhibitory effect of ammonia concentrations which increased with raising digestion temperature especially in the horizontal digesters which have a higher surface area compared to the vertical digesters.
Fig. (4): Methane concentration in biogas produced from horizontal and vertical digesters fed with CD, CM and CD+CM under different digestion temperatures.

The CO$_2$ concentrations in biogas produced from the various substrates in both digester shape at different digestion temperatures as shown in the Fig. (5). The averages of CO$_2$ concentrations from the vertical digesters fed with CD, CM and CD+CM at the winter were 38.4, 46.6 and 37.3%, respectively, at the summer were 35.7, 33.4 and 28.9%, respectively and at the heating were 33.9, 35.4 and 30.1% for the above-named substrates, respectively. The corresponding averages of CO$_2$ concentrations from the horizontal digesters fed with CD, CM and CD+CM at the winter were 37.0, 34.7 and 47.7%, respectively, at the summer were 35.9, 46.8 and 30.1%, respectively and at the heating were 38.9, 55.0 and 31.9%, respectively.
Fig. (5): Carbon dioxide concentration in biogas produced from horizontal and vertical digesters fed with CD, CM and CD+CM under different digestion temperatures.

3.3. pH value

The average pH values of the digested substrates for different treatments are varied as shown in Fig (6). At 38±1 °C and 29±3 °C, the average pH values of the digested substrates were found to follow the orders: CD+CM > CD > CM for the horizontal digesters and CD+CM > CM > CD for the vertical ones. The average pH values ranges in the digested substrates at 29±3 °C and 38±1 °C were 5.99 - 7.47 for the horizontal digesters and 6.42 - 7.46 for the vertical ones. At 20±3 °C, the average pH values in both digester types ranged between 6.64 and 6.95. The average pH values for CD+CM in both digester types were found to increase with increasing the digestion temperature.
Fig. (6): Values of pH in horizontal and vertical digesters fed with CD, CM and CD+CM under different digestion temperatures.

Table (4) illustrated the average values of degradation, carbon dioxide, methane percent, pH value and C:N ratio for different treatments under study. At the vertical digesters when temperature increased degradation percent increased from three substrates, pH value, the carbon dioxide decreased and methane percent increased from CD, meanwhile from CM and CD+CM the highest methane percent were 63.6 and 68.1% at summer, respectively and the lowest methane percent were 50.4 and 59.7% at winter, respectively.
Table (4): The average value of the degradation, carbon dioxide, methane percent, pH value and C:N ratio under different treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digestor shape</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Winter 20±3 °C</th>
<th>Summer 29±3 °C</th>
<th>Heating 38±1 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>CD+CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>Degradation, %</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methane, %</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>59.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pH value</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>6.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C:N ratio</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>Degradation, %</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methane, %</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>49.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pH value</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>6.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C:N ratio</td>
<td>28.</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the other hand, the highest degradation percent were 45.9 and 48.0% under the horizontal digesters from CD and CD+CM at summer season, respectively and the highest methane percent were 61.1 and 66.9% at summer season, respectively, meanwhile the lowest degradation and methane percent observed from co-digestion of CD+CM were 13.2 and 49.3% at winter season, respectively. Meanwhile methane contents in biogas evolved from the horizontal digesters loaded with CM decreased with increasing the digestion temperature. Generally, when the C:N ratio decreased the methane percent increased at all treatments.

**CONCLUSION**

Concluding remarks based on the obtained date are as follows:
- The cumulative biogas and specific methane production from all treatments in all experiments, except for those of the vertical digesters at (heating treatment) 38±1 °C, were found to follow the order:
CD+CM > CD > CM. However, the order was CD+CM > CM > CD for the vertical digesters treatments at (heating treatment) 38±1 ºC.

- The highest average methane content of 68.1% (by volume) in biogas was observed in the vertical digesters fed with CD+CM at digestion temperature (summer season) 29±3 ºC. On the contrary, the lowest average methane content of 42.0% was found in the horizontal digesters loaded with CM alone at (heating treatment) 38±1 ºC.

- The highest average specific methane production rates of 238 L kg\(^{-1}\)VS added per day were obtained from the horizontal digesters fed with CD+CM at (summer season) 29±3 ºC, while the lowest rates of 32 L kg\(^{-1}\)VS added per day were observed in the horizontal digesters loaded with CM alone at (heating treatment) 38±1 ºC, respectively.

- The biogas produced from most treatments in the winter experiment was low quality in terms of energy production.

- Methane contents in biogas evolved from the horizontal digesters loaded with CM decreased with increasing the digestion temperature.
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**الملخص العربي**

إنتاج الميثان بالتخمّر اللاهوائي من روث الماشية وزرق الدواجن تحت الظروف العملية

أ.د. عادل سالم السيدٌ أ.د. طه عبد الحميد مهناً د.محمد علي عبد الهاديٌ

م.أحمد مصطفىٌ

تقدر مخلفات الماشية والدواجن في مصر بحوالي 11 مليون طن روث رطب سنوياً، ويؤدي تداول وتخزين هذه المخلفات دون معالجة إلى فقد المادة العضوية وتوظيف البيئة وانتشار الروائح الكريهة. و التخمر اللاهوائي أحد طرق معالجة المخلفات العضوية، حيث ينتج من تحلل المادة العضوية الغاز الحيوي (البيوجاز) وهو من أهم مصادر الطاقة خاصة في المناطق الريفية، وسماد متحلل غنى في محتواة من المادة العضوية.

أجريت التجارب في معمل البيوجاز بقسم الهندسة الزراعية- مزرعة كلية الزراعة - جامعة قناة السويس- الإسماعيلية، بهدف دراسة تأثير شكل المخمر (أفقي – رأسي) ونوع المادة العضوية (روث ماشية – زرق دواجن – خليط منهما) على كمية وجودة غاز البيوجاز تحت تأثير درجات حرارة تخمر 20±2°C (في الشتاء)، 29±2°C (في الصيف) و 38±1°C (معاملة التسخين). تم استخدام 100% روث ماشية (CD) و 100% زرق دواجن (CM) بوزن 1.1 بوزنٌ وتوزيعه حسب خليط بينهما 0.1 بالنسبة بوزنٌ. الطريقة متعددة وكان تركيز المواد الصلبة الكلية (TS) لكل من المواد العضوية والخليط 77.5% وكمنت مدة التجربة 10 يوماً.

*استاذ، أستاذ مساعد، معيد- قسم الهندسة الزراعية- كلية الزراعة- جامعة قناة السويس.
**استاذ ميكروبولوجي الأراضي- قسم الأراضي والموارد- كلية الزراعة- جامعة قناة السويس.
وقد توصلت الدراسة إلى النتائج التالية:

- الإنتاج التراكمي للبيوجاز أو الميثان لكل المعاملات في كل التجارب ما عدا المخمر الرأسي تحت درجة حرارة التسخين 38±1°C، وجد أنها تتبع هذا الترتيب: الخليط < روث الماشية < زرق الدواجن بينما الترتيب التالي: الخليط < زرق الدواجن < روث الماشية تم الحصول عليه مع المخمر الرأسي تحت درجة حرارة التسخين 38±1°C.

- أعلى متوسط نسبة ميثان في البيوجاز هو 68.1% تم الحصول عليه من المخمر الرأسي المغذي بالمادة الخليط على درجة حرارة 29±3°C. بينما أقل متوسط هو 42.0% تم الحصول عليه من المخمر الأفقي المغذي بزرق الدواجن على درجة حرارة 38±1°C.

- أعلى متوسط إنتاج نوغي من الميثان هو 338 لتر كجم⁻¹ مواد صلبة طيارة يوم⁻¹ على التوالي تم الحصول عليه من المخمرات الأفقي المغذى بالخليط على درجة حرارة 29±3°C. بينما أقل متوسط هو 22 لتر كجم⁻¹ مواد صلبة طيارة يوم⁻¹ تم الحصول عليه من المخمرات الأفقي المغذى بزرق الدواجن على درجة حرارة 38±1°C على التوالي.

- البيوجاز الناتج من معظم المعاملات في تجربة الشتاء كانت أقل جودة في إنتاج الطاقة.

- محتوى الميثان في غاز البيوجاز الناتج من المخمرات الأفقي المغذى بزرق الدواجن تنخفض بزيادة درجة حرارة التخمر.